

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
Provincial Advisory Group (PAG) Feedback on a
pCODR Expert Review Committee Initial
Recommendation

Daratumumab (Darzalex) for Multiple Meyloma

October 5, 2017

3 Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

Name of the drug ind	cation(s): <u>Daratumun</u>	nab (Darzalex) for Mu	<u>ıltiple Myeloma</u>	
Endorsed by:	Provincial A	Advisory Group Chair		
Feedback was provi participating in pCC	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ministries of Health a	nd/or provincial cancer agend	ies)
Please indicat	the Initial Recommendati e if the PAG (either as inc with the initial recomme	lividual PAG members	and/or as a group) agrees or	
x Agre		Agrees in part	Disagree	
adoption fea			g should also be conditional o ignificant system impacts of	n
support t conversio		n proceeding to final p	se indicate if the PAG would ERC recommendation ("early ays of the end of the	
	port conversion to final ommendation.		ot support conversion to final nmendation.	
	ommendation does not re onsideration by pERC.		mmendation should be nsidered by pERC.	
upon clarifica		ised in the economic ar	version to final recommendation alysis and indicating where the nation separately.	

Please provide feedback on the initial recommendation. Is the initial recommendation or are the components of the recommendation (e.g., clinical and economic evidence) clearly worded? Is the intent clear? Are the reasons clear?

Page	Section Title	Paragraph,	Comments and Suggested Changes to Improve
Number		Line Number	Clarity
1	pERC Recommendation	1	The recommendation states treatment should be in combination with bortezomib/dexamethasone as administered in the CASTOR trial. However on page 5 of the summary of deliberations, pERC was

		in agreement that the bortezomib dose in the trial was not what is commonly used in practice and that the weekly bortezomib schedule is preferred. This should be clarified on the first page.
5		PAG suggests indicating where the best point estimate is likely to fall in the ICER range for each combination.
10-11	Economic Evaluation	PAG is seeking clarification on the estimated resource costs in the economic analysis and in comparison to resource costs for carfilzomib.

3.2 Comments related to PAG input

Please provide feedback on any issues not adequately addressed in the initial recommendation based on the PAG input provided at the outset of the review on potential impacts and feasibility issues of adopting the drug within the health system.

Page	Section Title	Paragraph,	Comments related to initial PAG input
Number		Line Number	
			The implementation issues are well defined in this
			recommendation. PAG noted that these issues are
			of real concern and may be a barrier to
			implementation for some clinics or jurisdictions,
			depending on space limitations within the facility and/or lack of personnel capacity, and significant
			process changes (e.g. extended clinic hours) or
			modifications to the treatment delivery (e.g. is
			there data for shorter infusion times) may be
			required. The resource intensive nature of this
			treatment, the subsequent potential to impact
			other patients' access to chairs, and other
			incremental costs were acknowledged by pERC,
			but perhaps not given enough weight in assessing
			the value of this therapy given there is currently
			no OS data and no QOL improvement.

3.3 Additional comments about the initial recommendation document

Please provide any additional comments:

ection	Paragraph,	Additional Comments
itle	Line Number	

About Completing This Template

pCODR invites the Provincial Advisory Group (PAG) to provide feedback and comments on the initial recommendation made by the pCODR Expert Review Committee. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for information regarding review status and feedback deadlines.)

As part of the pCODR re view process, the pCODR Expert Review Committee makes an initial recommendation based on its review of the clinical, economic and patient evidence for a drug. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process.) The pERC initial recommendation is then posted for feedback and comments from various stakeholders. The pCODR Expert Review Committee welcomes comments and feedback that will help the members understand why the PAG, either as individual PAG members and/or as a group, agrees or disagrees with the pERC initial recommendation. In addition, the members of pERC would like to know if there is any lack of clarity in the document and if so, what could be done to improve the clarity of the information in the pERC initial recommendation. Other comments are welcome as well.

All stakeholders have 10 (ten) business days within which to provide their feedback on the initial recommendation and rationale. If all invited stakeholders agree with the recommended clinical population described in the initial recommendation, it will proceed to a pERC final recommendation by 2 (two) business days after the end of the consultation (feedback) period. This is called an "early conversion" of an initial recommendation to a final recommendation.

If any one of the invited stakeholders does not support the initial recommendation proceeding to a pERC final recommendation, pERC will review all feedback and comments received at the next possible pERC meeting. Based on the feedback received, pERC will consider revising the recommendation document as appropriate. It should be noted that the initial recommendation and rationale for it may or may not change following consultation with stakeholders.

The pERC final recommendation will be made available to the participating provincial and territorial ministries of health and cancer agencies for their use in guiding their funding decisions and will also be made publicly available once it has been finalized.

Instructions for Providing Feedback

- a) Only members of the PAG can provide feedback on the pERC initial recommendation; delegates must work through the PAG representative to whom they report.
 - a. Please note that only one submission is permitted for the PAG. Thus, the feedback should include both individual PAG members and/or group feedback.
- b) Feedback or comments must be based on the evidence that was considered by pERC in making the pERC initial recommendation. No new evidence will be considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission.
- c) The template for providing *Provincial Advisory Group (PAG) Feedback on a pERC Initial Recommendation* can be downloaded from the pCODR website. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process and supporting materials and templates.)
- d) At this time, the template must be completed in English. PAG should complete those sections of the template where they have substantive comments and should not feel obligated to complete every section, if that section does not apply. Similarly, PAG should not feel restricted by the space allotted on the form and can expand the tables in the template as required.

- e) Feedback on the pERC Initial Recommendation should not exceed three (3) pages in length, using a minimum 11 point font on 8 ½" by 11" paper. If comments submitted exceed three pages, only the first three pages of feedback will be forwarded to the pERC.
- f) Feedback should be presented clearly and succinctly in point form, whenever possible. The issue(s) should be clearly stated and specific reference must be made to the section of the recommendation document under discussion (i.e., page number, section title, and paragraph). Opinions from experts and testimonials should not be provided. Comments should be restricted to the content of the initial recommendation.
- g) References to support comments may be provided separately; however, these cannot be related to new evidence. New evidence is not considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are considering to provide is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.
- h) The comments must be submitted via a Microsoft Word (not PDF) document to the pCODR Secretariat by the posted deadline date.
- i) If you have any questions about the feedback process, please e-mail submissions@pcodr.ca.

Note: Submitted feedback may be used in documents available to the public. The confidentiality of any submitted information cannot be protected.