



**pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
Submitter or Manufacturer Feedback on a
pCODR Expert Review Committee Initial
Recommendation**

**Bosutinib (Bosulif) for Chronic Myelogenous
Leukemia**

April 21, 2015

3 Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

Name of the Drug and Indication(s):	BOSULIF™ (bosutinib) was issued a Notice of Compliance with conditions (NOC/c) for the treatment of chronic, accelerated, or blast phase Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+) CML in adult patients with resistance or intolerance to prior TKI therapy, and for whom subsequent treatment with imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib is not clinically appropriate.
Role in Review (Submitter and/or Manufacturer):	Submitter and Manufacturer
Organization Providing Feedback	Pfizer Canada Inc.

**pCODR may contact this person if comments require clarification. Contact information will not be included in any public posting of this document by pCODR.*

3.1 Comments on the Initial Recommendation

- a) Please indicate if the Submitter (or the Manufacturer of the drug under review, if not the Submitter) agrees or disagrees with the initial recommendation:

agrees agrees in part disagree

<i>Please explain why the Submitter (or the Manufacturer of the drug under review, if not the Submitter) agrees, agrees in part or disagrees with the initial recommendation.</i>

- b) Notwithstanding the feedback provided in part a) above, please indicate if the Submitter (or the Manufacturer of the drug under review, if not the Submitter) would support this initial recommendation proceeding to final pERC recommendation ("early conversion"), which would occur within 2(two) business days of the end of the consultation period.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support conversion to final recommendation. Recommendation does not require reconsideration by pERC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Do not support conversion to final recommendation. Recommendation should be reconsidered by pERC.
-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---

- c) Please provide feedback on the initial recommendation. Is the initial recommendation or are the components of the recommendation (e.g., clinical and economic evidence) clearly worded? Is the intent clear? Are the reasons clear?

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Comments and Suggested Changes to Improve Clarity

3.2 Comments Related to Submitter or Manufacturer-Provided Information

Please provide feedback on any issues not adequately addressed in the initial recommendation based on any information provided by the Submitter (or the Manufacturer of the drug under review, if not the Submitter) in the submission or as additional information during the review.

Please note that new evidence will be not considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are providing is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Comments related to Submitter or Manufacturer-Provided Information

3.3 Additional Comments About the Initial Recommendation Document

Please provide any additional comments:

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Additional Comments