



**pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
Patient Advocacy Group Feedback on a pCODR
Expert Review Committee Initial
Recommendation**

Crizotinib (Xalkori) Resubmission for NSCLC

May 2, 2013

INQUIRIES

Inquiries and correspondence about the pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review (pCODR) should be directed to:

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
1 University Avenue, suite 300
Toronto, ON
M5J 2P1

Telephone: 416-673-8381
Fax: 416-915-9224
Email: info@pcodr.ca
Website: www.pcodr.ca

1 Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

Name of the drug indication(s): Crizotinib (Xalcori)

Name of registered patient advocacy Lung Cancer Canada

**pCODR may contact this person if comments require clarification. Contact information will not be included in any public posting of this document by pCODR.*

1.1 Comments on the Initial Recommendation

a) Please indicate if the patient advocacy group agrees or disagrees with the initial recommendation:

X agrees agrees in part ____ disagree

Please explain why the patient advocacy group agrees, agrees in part or disagrees with the initial recommendation.

Lung Cancer Canada (LCC) is pleased that pCODR has recommended crizotinib (Xalcori) as second-line therapy for patients with ALK-positive NSCLC. However we feel there is clear evidence that this treatment should be available to all ALK+ NSCLC patients facing 2nd line therapy decisions or beyond. Even after failure of all standard therapies, patients with ALK+ NSCLC can have dramatic response rates of more than 50%, major improvement in quality of life, and prolonged durations of benefit (median progression-free survival of beyond 9 months in initial single arm studies in a heavily pre-treated population)

b) Notwithstanding the feedback provided in part a) above, please indicate if the patient advocacy group would support this initial recommendation proceeding to final pERC recommendation ("early conversion"), which would occur within 2(two) business days of the end of the consultation period.

Support conversion to final recommendation.

Recommendation does not require reconsideration by pERC.

Do not support conversion to final recommendation.

Recommendation should be reconsidered by pERC.

- c) Please provide feedback on the initial recommendation. Is the initial recommendation or are the components of the recommendation (e.g., clinical and economic evidence) clearly worded? Is the intent clear? Are the reasons clear?

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Comments and Suggested Changes to Improve Clarity
4	Overall Clinical Benefit	Paragraph 5 (Key Efficacy)	<p>A major concern is the potential for Canadians with ALK + NSCLC to gain access to this breakthrough treatment, with better quality of life, time to cancer growth, response, and fewer side effects than conventional chemotherapy.</p> <p>In particular, we urge pCODR to highlight that the number of Canadians eligible for this breakthrough treatment is very small (estimated at less than 500 Canadians nationwide.) We urge pCODR and the provinces to consider this when making funding recommendations for this very small group of individuals where their lives are dramatically improved by this new treatment.</p>
6	Economic Evaluation	Paragraph 3 Line 4-6	<p>LCC urges pCODR and provincial funding bodies to recognize that even after the second-line setting, this agent has dramatic benefits in ALK positive NSCLC -</p> <p>Again, we urge pCODR and the provinces to consider this when making funding recommendations for this very small group of individuals where their lives are dramatically improved by this new treatment.</p>

1.2 Comments Related to Patient Advocacy Group Input

Please provide feedback on any issues not adequately addressed in the initial recommendation based on patient advocacy group input provided at the outset of the review on outcomes or issues important to patients that were identified in the submitted patient input. Please note that new evidence will be not considered during this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are providing is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.

Examples of issues to consider include: what are the impacts of the condition on patients' daily living? Are the needs of patients being met by existing therapies? Are

there unmet needs? Will the agents included in this recommendation affect the lives of patients? Do they have any disadvantages? Stakeholders may also consider other factors not listed here.

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Comments related to initial patient advocacy group input
6	Evidence in Brief/Overall Clinical Benefit	Paragraph 7/ongoing trials	We eagerly await the first-line data and trust that pCODR will help amend their recommendations as soon as these data are available.

1.3 Additional Comments About the Initial Recommendation Document

Please provide any additional comments:

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Additional Comments
			Lung cancer is by far the leading cause of cancer related death in Canada. We thank pCODR for helping us in the fight against this devastating and relentless killer of Canadians. But based on the current evidence, PCODR's recommendation should allow access for all Canadians with ALK+ NSCLC after initial therapy, not just in the second-line setting, and should recognize the small size of this subgroup in their funding recommendation.

About Completing This Template

pCODR invites those registered patient advocacy groups that provided input on the drug under review **prior** to deliberation by the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC), to also provide feedback and comments on the initial recommendation made by pERC. (See www.pcodr.ca for information regarding review status and feedback deadlines.)

As part of the pCODR review process, the pCODR Expert Review Committee makes an initial recommendation based on its review of the clinical, economic and patient evidence for a drug. (See www.pcodr.ca for a description of the pCODR process.) The initial recommendation is then posted for feedback and comments from various stakeholders. The pCODR Expert Review Committee welcomes comments and feedback that will help the members understand why the patient advocacy groups agree or disagree with the initial recommendation. In addition, the members of pERC would like to know if there is any lack of clarity in the document and if so, what could be done to improve the clarity of the information in the initial recommendation. Other comments are welcome as well.

All stakeholders have 10 (ten) business days within which to provide their feedback on the initial recommendation and rationale. If all invited stakeholders, including registered patient advocacy groups, agree with the recommended clinical population described in the initial recommendation, it will proceed to a final pERC recommendation by 2 (two) business days after the end of the consultation (feedback) period. This is called an “early conversion” of an initial recommendation to a final recommendation.

If any one of the invited stakeholders does not support the initial recommendation proceeding to final pERC recommendation, pERC will review all feedback and comments received at the next possible pERC meeting. Based on the feedback received, pERC will consider revising the recommendation document as appropriate. It should be noted that the initial recommendation and rationale for it may or may not change following consultation with stakeholders.

The final pERC recommendation will be made available to the participating provincial and territorial ministries of health and cancer agencies for their use in guiding their funding decisions and will also be made publicly available once it has been finalized.

Instructions for Providing Feedback

- a) Only registered patient advocacy groups that provided input at the beginning of the review of the drug can provide feedback on the initial recommendation.
 - Please note that only one submission per patient advocacy group is permitted. This applies to those groups with both national and provincial / territorial offices; only one submission for the entire patient advocacy group will be accepted. If more than one submission is made, only the first submission will be considered.
 - Individual patients should contact a patient advocacy group that is representative of their condition to have their input added to that of the group. If there is no patient advocacy group for the particular tumour, patients should contact pCODR for direction at info@pcodr.ca.

- b) Feedback or comments must be based on the evidence that was considered by pERC in making the initial recommendation. No new evidence will be considered during this part of the review process; however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission.
- c) The template for providing *pCODR Patient Advocacy Group Feedback on a pERC Initial Recommendation* can be downloaded from the pCODR website. (See www.pcodr.ca for a description of the pCODR process and supporting materials and templates.)
- d) At this time, the template must be completed in English. Patient advocacy groups should complete those sections of the template where they have substantive comments and should not feel obligated to complete every section, if that section does not apply to their group. Similarly, groups should not feel restricted by the space allotted on the form and can expand the tables in the template as required.
- e) Feedback on the initial pERC recommendations **should not exceed three (3) pages in length**, using a minimum 11 point font on 8 ½" by 11" paper. If comments submitted exceed three pages, only the first three pages of feedback will be forwarded to the pERC.
- f) Feedback should be presented clearly and succinctly in point form, whenever possible. The issue(s) should be clearly stated and specific reference must be made to the section of the recommendation document under discussion (i.e., page number, section title, and paragraph). Opinions from experts and testimonials should not be provided. Comments should be restricted to the content of the initial recommendation.
- g) References to support comments may be provided separately; however, these cannot be new references. New evidence is not considered during this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are considering to provide is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.
- h) The comments must be submitted via a Microsoft Word (not PDF) document by logging into www.pcodr.ca and selecting "Submit Feedback" by the posted deadline date.
- i) Patient advocacy group feedback must be submitted to pCODR by 5 P.M. Eastern Time on the day of the posted deadline.
- j) If you have any questions about the feedback process, please e-mail info@pocr.ca. For more information regarding patient input into the pCODR drug review process, see the *pCODR Patient Engagement Guide*. Should you have any questions about completing this form, please email info@pcodr.ca

Note: Submitted feedback is publicly posted and also may be used in other documents available to the public. The confidentiality of any submitted information at this stage of the review cannot be guaranteed.