

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review Stakeholder Feedback on a pCODR Expert Review Committee Initial Recommendation (Patient Advocacy Group)

Enasidenib (Idhifa) for Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Leukemia and Lymphoma Society of Canada

October 31, 2019

3 Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

Name	of the	Drug and Indi	cation(s):	IDHFA	IDHFA					
Eligib	le Stake	eholder Role i	n Review (Sponsor	<u>-</u>						
and/o	r Manu	facturer, Pati	ent Group, Clinica	al Patient G	Patient Group					
Organ	ization	Providing Fee	edback	Leukemia	Leukemia and Lymphoma Society of Canada					
			ontact this persor Iuded in any publi					m.		
,				- p			, peez., p. eg			
3,1	Comm	ants on the In	itial Recommenda	ation						
3.1										
		ease indicate i tial Recomme	if the eligible stak ndation:	eholder agree	s, agrees in	part, oi	r disagrees with	the		
		agrees		agrees in p	part	\boxtimes	disagree			
	We believe this oral drug has been shown to benefit a subset of patients with this mutation. Based on our information, we are aware of a patient who has used Enasidenib after an initial failure of the induction treatment, and that the patient achieved complete remission and has now gone on to allogeneic transplant. In this case, there was little in the way of toxicity with enasidenib. Considering the options for AML are very limited, we wish to include this product in the pool of options. We understood from your recommendation that the price was too high versus the benefits. We hope to see a positive recommendation for Enasidenib followed by pricing negotiation between the pharmaceutical company and the Pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance (pCPA).									
		ease indicate i ovisional algor	if the eligible stak ithm:	eholder agree	s, agrees in	part, oı	r disagrees with	the		
		agrees		agrees in	n part		disagree			
	algor ther Infor	ithm. Please I py of the dru mation or abo	the Stakeholder note that comme ug under review in the other therapie of be considered of	nts should rela in the provision is that are incl	ate only to t nal algorithr luded in the	t he pro m. If fe provisi	posed place in edback includes onal algorithm,	s New		

the I	nitial Recomm	endation or are th nic evidence or pr	ne con	ponent	commendation to aid in clarity. Is s of the recommendation (e.g., rithm) clearly worded? Is the intent					
Page Numbe	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Numb			ents and Suggested Changes to ve Clarity					
Comments	Related to Elig	gible Stakeholder	Provid	ded Info	rmation					
Notwithstanding the feedback provided in part a) above, please indicate if the Stakehold would support this Initial Recommendation proceeding to Final pERC Recommendation ("early conversion"), which would occur two (2) Business Days after the end of the feedback deadline date.										
	Support conver Recommendati		\boxtimes	Do not support conversion to Fina Recommendation.						
Recommendation does not require Recommendation should reconsideration by pERC. Recommendation should reconsidered by pERC.										
provide fe based on a	edback on any	issues not adequa provided by the	ately a	ddresse	to a Final Recommendation, please od in the Initial Recommendation on the submission or as additional					
Please note that new evidence will be not considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are providing is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR program.										
Recomment requires for criteria for Recomment	ndation; howev urther interpre r early convers	rer, the stakehold tation of the evide ion will be deeme returned to pERC	er has ence, ed to h	include includir ave not	onversion to a Final ed substantive comments that ag the provisional algorithm, the been met and the Initial eliberation and reconsideration at					
Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Com	Comments related to Stakeholder Infor						
1	ĺ	1	1							

1 About Stakeholder Feedback

pCODR invites eligible stakeholders to provide feedback and comments on the Initial Recommendation made by the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC), including the provisional algorithm. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for information regarding review status and feedback deadlines.)

As part of the pCODR review process, pERC makes an Initial Recommendation based on its review of the clinical benefit, patient values, economic evaluation and adoption feasibility for a drug. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process.) The Initial Recommendation is then posted for feedback from eligible stakeholders. All eligible stakeholders have 10 (ten) business days within which to provide their feedback on the initial recommendation. It should be noted that the Initial Recommendation, including the provisional algorithm may or may not change following a review of the feedback from stakeholders.

pERC welcomes comments and feedback from all eligible stakeholders with the expectation that even the most critical feedback be delivered respectfully and with civility.

A. Application of Early Conversion

The Stakeholder Feedback document poses two key questions:

1. Does the stakeholder agree, agree in part, or disagree with the Initial Recommendation?

All eligible stakeholders are requested to indicate whether they agree, agree in part or disagrees with the Initial Recommendation, and to provide a rational for their response.

Please note that if a stakeholder agrees, agrees in part or disagrees with the Initial Recommendation, the stakeholder can still support the recommendation proceeding to a Final Recommendation (i.e. early conversion).

2. Does the stakeholder support the recommendation proceeding to a Final Recommendation ("early conversion")?

An efficient review process is one of pCODR's key guiding principles. If all eligible stakeholders support the Initial Recommendation proceeding to a Final Recommendation and that the criteria for early conversion as set out in the pCODR Procedures are met, the Final Recommendation will be posted on the CADTH website two (2) Business Days after the end of the feedback deadline date. This is called an "early conversion" of an Initial Recommendation to a Final Recommendation.

For stakeholders who support early conversion, please note that if there are substantive comments on any of the key quadrants of the deliberative framework (e.g., differences in the interpretation of the evidence), including the provisional algorithm as part of the feasibility of adoption into the health system, the criteria for early conversion will be deemed to have <u>not</u> been met and the Initial Recommendation will be returned to pERC for further deliberation and reconsideration at the next possible pERC meeting. If the substantive comments relate specifically to the provisional algorithm, it will be shared with PAG for a reconsideration. Please note that if any one of the eligible stakeholders does not support the Initial Recommendation proceeding to a Final pERC Recommendation, pERC will review all feedback and comments received at a subsequent pERC meeting and reconsider the Initial Recommendation. Please also note that substantive comments on the provisional algorithm will preclude early conversion of the initial recommendation to a final recommendation.

B. Guidance on Scope of Feedback for Early Conversion

Information that is within scope of feedback for early conversion includes the identification of errors in the reporting or a lack of clarity in the information provided in the review documents. Based on the feedback received, pERC will consider revising the recommendation document, as appropriate and to provide clarity.

If a lack of clarity is noted, please provide suggestions to improve the clarity of the information in the Initial Recommendation. If the feedback can be addressed editorially this will done by the CADTH staff, in consultation with the pERC chair and pERC members, and may not require reconsideration at a subsequent pERC meeting. Similarly if the feedback relates specifically to the provisional algorithm and can be addressed editorially, CADTH staff will consult with the PAG chair and PAG members.

The Final pERC Recommendation will be made available to the participating federal, provincial and territorial ministries of health and provincial cancer agencies for their use in guiding their funding decisions and will also be made publicly available once it has been finalized.

2 Instructions for Providing Feedback

- a) The following stakeholders are eligible to submit Feedback on the Initial Recommendation:
 - The Sponsor making the pCODR Submission, or the Manufacturer of the drug under review;
 - Patient groups who have provided input on the drug submission;
 - Registered clinician(s) who have provided input on the drug submission; and
 - The Provincial Advisory Group (PAG)
- b) The following stakeholders are eligible to submit Feedback on the provisional algorithm:
 - The Sponsor making the pCODR Submission, or the Manufacturer of the drug under review;
 - Patient groups who have provided input on the drug submission;
 - Registered clinician(s) who have provided input on the drug submission; and
 - The Board of Directors of the Canadian Provincial Cancer Agencies
- c) Feedback or comments must be based on the evidence that was considered by pERC in making the Initial Recommendation. No new evidence will be considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission.
- d) The template for providing *Stakeholder Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation* can be downloaded from the pCODR section of the CADTH website. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process and supporting materials and templates.)
- e) At this time, the template must be completed in English. The Stakeholder should complete those sections of the template where they have substantive comments and should not feel obligated to complete every section, if that section does not apply.
- f) Feedback on the pERC Initial Recommendation should not exceed three (3) pages in length, using a minimum 11 point font on 8 ½" by 11" paper. If comments submitted exceed three pages, only the first three pages of feedback will be provided to the pERC for their consideration.
- g) Feedback should be presented clearly and succinctly in point form, whenever possible. The issue(s) should be clearly stated and specific reference must be made to the section of the

recommendation document under discussion (i.e., page number, section title, and paragraph). Opinions from experts and testimonials should not be provided. Comments should be restricted to the content of the Initial Recommendation, and should not contain any language that could be considered disrespectful, inflammatory or could be found to violate applicable defamation law.

- h) References to support comments may be provided separately; however, these cannot be related to new evidence. New evidence is not considered at this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are considering to provide is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR program.
- i) The comments must be submitted via a Microsoft Word (not PDF) document to pCODR by the posted deadline date.
- j) If you have any questions about the feedback process, please e-mail pcodrsubmissions@cadth.ca

Note: CADTH is committed to providing an open and transparent cancer drug review process and to the need to be accountable for its recommendations to patients and the public. Submitted feedback will be posted on the CADTH website (www.cadth.ca/pcodr). The submitted information in the feedback template will be made fully disclosable.