Common Drug Review *



Submission Status

| ** | Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health |
|----|--|

| Product: | Pantoloc M |
|---------------|------------------------|
| | |
| Generic Name: | pantoprazole magnesium |
| | |
| Manufacturer: | Altana Pharma Inc. |

Submission Type: New

Date Submission Received: 2006-Mar-17 Date NOC Issued: 2005-Apr-22 Targeted CEDAC Meeting: 2006-Jul-26 Priority Review Granted: Granted

| | Targeted CEDAC Meeting: | 2006-Jul-26 Priority Review Granted: | | Granted | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Phase | | Target Time (Business Days) | Target Date** | Actual CDR Date | Comments | | | |
| 1 | Submission Deemed Complete | 5 | 2006-Mar-24 | 2006-Mar-30 | Priority Review Requested. Priority Review granted April 12, 20 | 06. | | |
| 2 | CDR Reviewers' Reports Completed Reviewers selected and contracted Literature search and selection completed Systematic review of clinical data completed Critical appraisal of pharmacoeconomic (PE) data completed Clinical and PE reports written Reports edited and finalized Reviewers' reports sent to manufacturer | 45 | 2006-Jun-05 | 2006-May-19 | Additional information requested April 11, 2006. Additional information received April 26, 2006. Additional information requested May 11, 2006. Additional information received May 29, 2006. | | | |
| 3 | Comments from Manufacturer on Reviewers' Reports Received by CDR | 7 | 2006-Jun-14 | 2006-Jun-05 | New date for manufacturer's common Request for extension received May granted, due date for manufacturer' 2006. | 25, 2006. Extension | | |
| 4 | Reviewers' Reply to Manufacturer's Comments Completed | 7 | 2006-Jun-23 | 2006-Jun-07 | Due date for reviewers' reply June | 14, 2006. | | |
| 5 | CEDAC Brief Completed and Sent to CEDAC Members | 5 | 2006-Jul-12 | 2006-Jun-07 | | | | |
| 6 | CEDAC Meeting | | 2006-Jul-26 | 2006-Jun-21 | Review advanced to June 21, 2006 | CEDAC. | | |
| 7 | CEDAC Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation Sent to Drug Plans, ACP and Manufacturer | 5 | 2006-Aug-02 | 2006-Jun-28 | Due date for CEDAC Recommenda | tion June 28, 2006. | | |
| 8 | Embargo Period*** Manufacturers may make a Request for Reconsideration and Drug Plans may make a Request for Clarification of the Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation | 10 | 2006-Aug-17 | 2006-Jul-13 | Due date for Embargo Period July 1 | 3, 2006. | | |
| 9 (a) | Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer (No Requests for Clarification are made AND no Request for Reconsideration is made or Request for Reconsideration is Resolved) | 5 | 2006-Jul-20 | 2006-Jul-20 | Notice of Final Recommendation is: | sued. | | |
| OR | | | | | | | | |
| 9 (b) | Clarification and Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer (Clarification Requested, no Request for Reconsideration made) | 5 | | | | | | |
| OR | | | | | | | | |
| 9 (c) | Placed on CEDAC Agenda For Reconsideration (At Manufacturer's request) | 25 Depends on Meeting Dates | | | | | | |
| 10 | Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer | 5 | | | | | | |
| | to the December for Common David Devices on the Com | | | | | | | |

^{*} Refer to the Procedure for Common Drug Review on the Common Drug Review section of www.cadth.ca for more details.

** The CDR review process is initiated AFTER a submission is deemed complete. The target dates for this report are based on the CEDAC meeting schedule, which is

posted on www.cadth.ca.
*** The Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation are to be held in confidence and not acted upon until after the CDR Directorate has issued the notice of Final Recommendation.