| Common Drug Review * Submission Status | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Canadian Agency for Product: Multaq | | | | | | | |
| Drugs and Technologies in Health Generic Name: dronedarone hydrochloride | | | | | | | |
| Manufacturer: Sanofi Aventis Canada Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Submission Type: New | | | | | | | |
| Date Submission Received: 2009-Sep-18 Date NOC Issued: 2009-Aug-11 | | | | | | | |
| Targeted CEDAC Meeting: | | 2010-Feb-17 Priority Review Granted: Not Requested | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Phase | Target Time (Business Days) | Target Date** | Actual CDR Date | Comments | | |
| | Submission Assessment | 5 | 2009-Sep-25 | 2009-Sep-25 | | | |
| 1 | Submission deemed complete | | | 2009-Sep-25 | Submission deemed complete. | | |
| 2 | CDR Reviewers' Reports Completed • Reviewers selected and contracted • Literature search and selection completed • Systematic review of clinical data completed • Critical appraisal of pharmacoeconomic (PE) data completed • Clinical and PE reports written • Reports edited and finalized • Reviewers' reports sent to manufacturer | 45 | 2009-Dec-10 | 2010-Jan-05 | Additional information requested C Additional information received Oc Additional information received Oc Additional information requested N Additional information received No | tober 26, 2009. tober 30, 2009. lovember 3, 2009. | |
| 3 | Comments from Manufacturer on Reviewers' Reports Received by CDR | 7 | 2009-Dec-21 | 2010-Jan-14 | Due date for manufacturer's comm | ents January 14, 2010. | |
| 4 | Reviewers' Reply to Manufacturer's Comments Completed | 7 | 2010-Jan-06 | 2010-Jan-25 | Due date for reviewers' reply Janu Additional information requested J Additional information received Jar | anuary 15, 2010. | |
| 5 | CEDAC Brief Completed and Sent to CEDAC Members | 5 | 2010-Feb-02 | 2010-Feb-02 | | | |
| 6 | CEDAC Meeting | | 2010-Feb-17 | 2010-Feb-17 | | | |
| 7 | CEDAC Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation Sent to Drug Plans, ACP and Manufacturer | 5 | 2010-Feb-26 | 2010-Feb-26 | | | |
| 8 | Embargo Period*** Manufacturers may make a Request for Reconsideration and Drug Plans may make a Request for Clarification of the Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation | 10 | 2010-Mar-12 | 2010-Apr-12 | Request for extension of Embargo 5, 2010. Extension granted, new e Period is April 12, 2010. Request for reconsideration receiv Request for reconsideration grante | nd date for Embargo ed April 12, 2010. | |
| 9 (a) | Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer (No Requests for Clarification are made AND no Request for Reconsideration is made or Request for Reconsideration is Resolved) | 5 | | | | | |
| OR | | | | | | | |
| 9 (b) | Clarification and Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer (Clarification Requested, no Request for Reconsideration made) | 5 | | | | | |
| | OR | | | | | | |
| 9 (c) | Placed on CEDAC Agenda For Reconsideration (At Manufacturer's request) | 25 Depends on Meeting Dates | 2010-May-19 | 2010-May-19 | | | |
| 10 | Final Recommendation sent to Drug Plans, ACP, and Manufacturer | 5 | 2010-May-27 | 2010-May-27 | Notice of Final Recommendation i | ssued. | |

* Refer to the Procedure for Common Drug Review on the Common Drug Review section of <u>www.cadth.ca</u> for more details. ** The CDR review process is initiated AFTER a submission is deemed complete. The target dates for this report are based on the CEDAC meeting schedule, which is posted on

<u>www.cadth.ca</u> *** The Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation are to be held in confidence and not acted upon until after the CDR Directorate has issued the notice of Final Recommendation.

Reflects updates as of Thursday noon.