

# **CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW**

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

## teriflunomide

(non-sponsored review)

**Indication:** Radiologically Isolated Syndrome (RIS).

Jan 3, 2025

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CDA-AMC and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CDA-AMC. No endorsement by CDA-AMC is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CDA-AMC, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CDA-AMC does not edit the content of the submissions.

CDA-AMC does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

# CDA-AMC Feedback on Draft Recommendation: Teriflunomide in RIS

Project number: SX0752-000

Generic Name: Teriflunomide (TER)

Indication(s): radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS)

**Group Name:** Canadian Network of MS Clinics (CNMSC)

Primary contact: Dr. Sarah Morrow

E-mail:

Telephone:

## **Comments from CNMSC**

#### a) General comments

- Overall, CNMSC is aligned with the draft recommendation for the use of TER in RIS.
- We have flagged a few areas below where we believe it is important for FMEC to modify the recommendation to ensure clarity of the advice being provided to participating jurisdictions and other stakeholders.
- We appreciate CDA's collaboration on this initiative and hope that CNMSC's input has been useful.

#### b) Therapeutic Landscape

- The report acknowledges that there are no publicly funded treatments for RIS in Canada.
- As noted in CNMSC's input into the project scoping document, neither interferon nor glatiramer acetate are relevant comparators as there are no randomized controlled studies specific to their use in RIS based on the 2023 diagnostic criteria.
- The draft recommendation also cites comments from expert reviewers re: the lack of utility of these products in RIS.
- Thus, reference to interferon beta and glatiramer acetate being off-label treatment options should be removed and/or the dearth of evidence supporting their off-label use should be emphasized more clearly in this statement.

## c) Table 1: Summary of Deliberation

- CNMSC appreciates FMEC's acknowledgement of the unmet need for this patient population, as well as the value of delaying disease onset, slowing disability, and their meaningful impacts to patients.
- CNMSC believes that it is important for FMEC to clarify some of the comments made under the Impacts on Health System category, as the current verbiage may create confusion regarding the diagnosis and management of RIS.
  - There are no "routine screening" programs for RIS as, by definition, RIS is discovered inadvertently in the process of assessing the patient for an unrelated issue.
    - BY DEFINITION, RIS is identified on the basis findings on an MRI that is carried out for a reason other than detection of RIS/MS.
  - Funding of TER for RIS would not be expected to result in increased MRIs as, by definition, MRIs are not being done for purposes of finding RIS.

 Funding of TER would simply provide an evidence-based treatment option to delay onset of MS after RIS has been serendipitously identified via an MRI.

## d) Table 2: Conditions, Reasons, and Guidance

CNMSC agrees with the proposed criteria for use and conditions for reimbursement.

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

# Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SX0752
Name of the drug and	teriflunomide
Indication(s)	
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

1.	Recommendation revisions	
Plea	se indicate if the stakeholder requires	t

he expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its ecommendation

	ccommendation.				
-	Request for Reconsideration	<b>Major revisions:</b> A change in recommendation <b>category</b> or patient <b>population</b> is requested			
		Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested			
	No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	X		
		No requested revisions			

## 2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

## 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

#### a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

Clarification on the wording around unmet need, the effectiveness comparison between oral and injectable treatments, and the availability of evidence to support the claims of clinical value and cost-effectiveness for the drug under review.

### b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

Suggestion additional language for clarity, on teriflunomide discontinuation if the patient progresses to MS, the terminology regarding "DMTs for RIS," and the need for a costeffectiveness analysis. Expansion on the discussion around details on patient eligibility and the funding status of similar treatments in various jurisdictions were also requested.

## c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Clarification was requested regarding the specific wording for the discontinuation of treatment and the use of teriflunomide as monotherapy, as well as the alignment of terminology between reimbursement conditions and implementation guidance sections.

# **Outstanding Implementation Issues**

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Alc	oriti	hm and	imp	lement	tatio	n aues	tions
, u.,	,	IIII alla				900	

- Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

#### Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.