

CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

epontersen (Wainua)

(AstraZeneca Canada Inc.)

Indication: Wainua (eplontersen injection) is indicated for the treatment of polyneuropathy associated with stage 1 or stage 2 hereditary transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis (hATTR) in adults.

October 4, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CDA-AMC and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CDA-AMC. No endorsement by CDA-AMC is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CDA-AMC, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CDA-AMC does not edit the content of the submissions.

CDA-AMC does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	SR0826-000				
Brand name (generic)	Eplontersen aka WAINUA for hATTR-PN				
Indication(s)	Amyloidosis Neuropathy				
Organization	Hereditary Amyloidosis Canada				
Contact information ^a	MammaeMarie Carr				
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation				
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. Yes X No □					
Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.					
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input				
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? Yes X No					
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?					
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?			<u>*</u>		
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?			X		
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?			K		
for the conditions provided in the recommendation? If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.



Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.

info@madhattr.ca

A. Patient C	Froup Information						
Name	Please state full name Anne Marie Carr						
Position	Please state currently held position Founder & Executive Director						
Date	Please add the date form was o	ompleted (DD-	.MM-YYYY) 03-	10-2024			
Ž	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
4 Did to be from a tride to a second to a					No	<u> </u>	
1. Did you receive help from outside your patient group to complete your feedback?			Yes				
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.							
2. Did you receive help from outside your patient group to collect or analyze any					No	X _□	
	ition used in your feedback?		•	, ,	Yes		
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.							
C. Previous	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes	st .					
	onflict of interest declarations				No	×	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.					d Yes		
D. New or U	Jpdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.							
Check Appropriate Dollar Range							
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	n Excess of 50,000	
Add compar	ny name Pfizer				X		

П

Bridge Bio \$10 001 to \$50 000 X

Add or remove rows as required Astra Zeneca

Alnylam

SOBI $$10\ 001\ to\ $50\ 000\ \chi$



Add company name

Χ□

ΧΠ

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0826
Name of the drug and	Eplontersen (Wainua)
Indication(s)	
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

F	 Recommendate if the decommendation. 	ion revisions ne stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarit	fy its		
Request for Reconsideration	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested				
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	Χ			
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested				
	No requested revisions				

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

The current recommendation for eplontersen specifies:

"Eligibility for eplontersen should be based on the criteria used by each of the public drug plans for initiation, renewal, and prescribing of vutrisiran for hATTR-PN".

While the CDEC recommended criteria for vutrisiran, patisiran, and inotersen are the same, it is not clear why CDEC chose to specifically reference vutrisiran. As vutrisiran is currently undergoing negotiations at pCPA, B.C's preference would be to specify the criteria rather than referencing another agent.

For example:

"Treatment with eplontersen should be reimbursed in adult patients with stage 1 or stage 2 genetically confirmed hATTR-PN who are symptomatic with early-stage neuropathy, defined as:

- 1.1. PND stage I to ≤ IIIB, or FAP stage I or II
- 1.2. no severe heart failure symptoms (defined as NYHA class III or IV)
- 1.3. no previous liver transplant"

The renewal and discontinuation criteria can also be copied from vutrisiran's recommended criteria.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information						
CADTH project number	SR0826					
Brand name (generic)	WAINUA™ (eplontersen)					
Indication(s)						
Indication(s) For the treatment of polyneuropathy associated with stage 1 or stage 2 hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis (hATTR) in adults						
Organization	AstraZeneca Canada					
Contact information ^a	Astrazerieca Cariada					
	th the draft recommendation					
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation	Vaa				
	ree with the committee's recommendation. s an important care gap in Canada for patients whose quality of	Yes No				
offers hATTR-PN patients with the choice of an alternative treatment option that slows disease progression, limits AEs, and offers greater independence and convenience through its at-home administration. WAINUA will also provide clinicians with an additional mechanism of action to help address hATTR-PN disease heterogeneity. This will help ensure that patients can have access to tailored therapies, regardless of their phenotypic or genotypic differences. Hence, WAINUA expands patient choice, and brings value to patients, their caregivers and clinicians.						
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input					
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? Yes No						
If not, what aspects are miss	sing from the draft recommendation?					
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation					
		Yes	\boxtimes			
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	No				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		1			
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes			
addressed in the recommendation?		No				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.					
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes				
	ded in the recommendation?	No	\boxtimes			
	nent conditions and reasons", CDA states the Initiation, Renew					
Discontinuation and Prescribing conditions of WAINUA (eplontersen) to be based on the criteria used						
by each of the public drug plans for vutrisiran. AstraZeneca requests CDA to outline the details of the reimbursement criteria for WAINUA instead of referring to vutrisiran's for clarity and transparency.						
Tombulsement Gilena IOI V	railtoa instead of feleting to vultishalls for clarity and transpo	arcricy				
More specifically:						
mere opcomouny.						

- CDA references the reimbursement criteria of a product that is not listed in jurisdictions across Canada.
 - While vutrisiran is undergoing pCPA negotiations, as of October 4th, 2024, its reimbursement status remains unknown.
 - Referencing WAINUA's clinical criteria to a product that has yet to be listed implies
 that this product is guaranteed to be listed across the country. It is requested that the
 WAINUA CDA recommendation includes the full description of the
 clinical/reimbursement criteria to ensure clarity and allow for the recommendation to
 stand on its own.
- It is requested that the WAINUA CDA recommendation outlines the reimbursement criteria in full to increase clarity and ensure ease of information access for all readers.
 - As mentioned above, the listing criteria for vutrisiran across the country do not currently exist, which could lead to reader confusion (patients, caregivers, clinicians, etc.) of its reimbursement status.
- Lastly, even should vutrisiran be successful at securing reimbursement, access to
 reimbursement criteria across jurisdictions can be challenging and often not available to all
 readers. To ensure that the WAINUA clinical criteria is easily accessible to all, regardless of
 the reader's knowledge of the Canadian reimbursement landscape, AZC requests CDA to
 outline the clinical criteria in full for WAINUA in the recommendation (again, allowing for the
 recommendation to stand on its own).

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.