

## **CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW**

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

cabotegravir (Apretude)

(ViiV Healthcare ULC)

**Indication:** For at-risk adults and adolescents aged 12 years and older and weighing at least 35 kg for PrEP to reduce the risk of sexually acquired HIV-1 infection.

August 1, 2024

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

i coaback on bit	are recommendation			
Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	SR0825-000			
Brand name (generic)	cabotegravir (Apretude)			
Indication(s)	HIV prevention			
Organization	Community-Based Research Centre			
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name:			
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation			
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No		
complicated process for accinfection, we do not support  As well, in regards to "Using all other drug costs, the increquality-adjusted life-year (Quantum people on HIV PrEP, respectively).	res in several provincial plans, specifically BC where there is a ressing cabotegravir for HIV treatment. Given the potential severany measures to restrict options for HIV prevention.  If the sponsor submitted price for cabotegravir and publicly lister emental costeffectiveness ratio (ICER) for cabotegravir was \$2 (ALY) compared with TDF/FTC," from an economic point of view regardless of the format (whether through cabotegravir or another emental cost was due to new people on HIV PrEP or if it was so option.	d price 9,283 w, we r	s for per need ion).	
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input			
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
stakeholder input that y	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation	Yes		
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes		
addressed in the recom		No	$\boxtimes$	
There was no implementation	on guidance in Table 1.			
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
	ded in the recommendation?	No		
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

### **Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient Group Information							
Name	Christophe Draenos						
Position	Consultant						
Date	July 29, 2024						
I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.							
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
1 Did you	ı receive help from outside you	r nationt arou	n to complete v	our foodback?	No		
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our reedback?	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
	d us that feedback was open on t nor share our feedback with ViiV.		d about the proce	ess to complete f	feedback.	We did	
2. Did you	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	ınalyze any	No	$\boxtimes$	
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes		
, ,,	If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.						
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes						
	onflict of interest declarations				. No		
	ted at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			rations remaine	d Yes		
D. New or U	Ipdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
<ol><li>List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.</li></ol>							
Check Appropriate Dollar Range							
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	ss of	
Add company name							
Add company name							
Add or remove rows as required							

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	SR0825-000		
Brand name (generic)	Apretude (cabotegravir)		
Indication(s)	HIV-1 infection, pre-exposure prophylaxis		
Organization	Dr. Alexander Wong Medical Professional Corporation		
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Dr. Alexander Wong (ID Physician in Saskatchewan)		
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation		
4. Doos the stakeholder of	we with the committee's recommendation	Yes	$\boxtimes$
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
publicly-funded access to in terms of opening up prevent high-risk sexual practice. I a	nysician in a high HIV-incidence province in Canada (Saskatche jectable HIV prevention therapy will be a significant "game-chaition options for high-risk individuals who use substances and/or im pleased to see that the committee is agreeable to reimbursing to be at-risk as per Canadian PrEP guidelines.	nger" i r enga	n
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
•	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	$\boxtimes$
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No	
I have close familiarity with t	the various clinical experts who were consulted for the review a	nd I a	gree
with their input and feedbac	k. I have no concerns regarding the committee's consideration	of inpu	ıt.
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
	nendation recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	
3. Are the reasons for the		No	
3. Are the reasons for the I think that the recommenda jurisdictions.	recommendation clearly stated?  tion is solid and leaves flexibility for negotiation of pricing with i	No	
3. Are the reasons for the I think that the recommenda jurisdictions.	recommendation clearly stated?  Ition is solid and leaves flexibility for negotiation of pricing with in the control of the co	No ndividu	ual
3. Are the reasons for the introductions.  4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation addressed in the recommentation addressed in the responsion prevention but significant climake CAB-LA widely availated that the primary focus when access to the medications. In the the primary focus when access to the medications. In the the primary focus when access to the medications. In the the primary focus when access to the medications. In the the primary focus when access to the medications. In the the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications when access to the medications. In the primary focus when access to the medications when access to the medication when acce	recommendation clearly stated?  Ition is solid and leaves flexibility for negotiation of pricing with it is in issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?  Ibility of the committee to consider implementation of CAB-LA formical and public health resources will need to be mobilized in or ble for those at risk. I would draw an analogy to hepatitis C treat we did not have drug reimbursement for the direct-acting antive Now that we have wide and near-universal access to the DAAs the implementation of clinical and public health programming to a treat those at highest risk. Currently very little resourcing is in licly, and this will need to be dramatically increased to optimize the est risk (i.e. those who use and inject substances). I think a contraction of critical at-risk populations across Canada.	Yes No or HIV rder to trals w for HC o place efficac ment ut in p	in ras
3. Are the reasons for the introduction in those at greater should be made about how to optimize uptable, are the rein.  3. Are the reasons for the introduction in those at greater should be made about how to optimize uptable, are the rein.	tion is solid and leaves flexibility for negotiation of pricing with it is need to be mobilized in or ble for those at risk. I would draw an analogy to hepatitis C treat we did not have drug reimbursement for the direct-acting antiv Now that we have wide and near-universal access to the DAAs the implementation of clinical and public health resources will need to be mobilized in or ble for those at risk. I would draw an analogy to hepatitis C treat we did not have drug reimbursement for the direct-acting antiv Now that we have wide and near-universal access to the DAAs the implementation of clinical and public health programming to / treat those at highest risk. Currently very little resourcing is in licly, and this will need to be dramatically increased to optimize test risk (i.e. those who use and inject substances). I think a conthe necessary publicly-funded infrastructure also needs to be p	Yes No for HIV rder to tirrals w for HC place efficace	in ras

	No concerns.
	No concerns.
L	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

### **Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient C	A. Patient Group Information							
Name	Dr. Alexander Wong							
Position	Physician, Infectious Diseases, Saskatchewan Health Authority							
Date	July 31, 2024							
⊠								
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback							
4 Did				fo a dla a als?	No	$\boxtimes$		
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our reedback?	Yes			
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.						
	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	ınalyze any	No	$\boxtimes$		
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes			
If yes, pleas	If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.							
	C. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest							
	onflict of interest declarations				No	$\boxtimes$		
	ted at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			rations remained	d Yes			
D. New or U	Ipdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration						
3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.								
				priate Dollar Rai	nge			
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	ss of		
ViiV HealthCare □ □ □ □ □								
Add company name					I			
Add or remove rows as required								

### **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
    that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
    clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	$\boxtimes$
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	$\boxtimes$
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1				
Name	Dr. Alexander Wong			
Position	Physician, Infectious Diseases, Saskatchewan Health Authority			
Date	July 31, 2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of Interest Declaration				

Company			Clieck Approp	mate Donai Kan	y <del>e</del>	
		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
ViiV HealthCare				⊠		
Add company name						
Add or rem	ove rows as required					
	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	L		
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	2				
Name	Please state full name	_				
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition				
Date	Please add the date form was d	completed (DD-	-MM-YYYY)			
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may	
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i				er the past two	
				riate Dollar Ranç	ge	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add compa	ny name					
Add compa	ny name					
Add or rem	ove rows as required					
•	dated Declaration for Clinician	3				
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held posi					
Date	Please add the date form was o		,			
	I hereby certify that I have the	•				
	matter involving this clinician or			•	•	
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
Check Appropriate Dollar Range						
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add compa	ny name					
Add compa	ny name					
Add or remove rows as required						

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

# **Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0825
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Cabotegravir (Apretude) for at-risk adults and adolescents aged 12 years and older and weighing at least 35 kg for PrEP to reduce the risk of sexually acquired HIV-1 infection.
Organization Providing Feedback	FWG

1. Recommendation revisions  Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.					
Request for Reconsideration	<b>Major revisions:</b> A change in recommendation <b>category</b> or patient <b>population</b> is requested				
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested				
No Request for Reconsideration	<b>Editorial revisions:</b> Clarifications in recommendation <b>text</b> are requested	X			
	No requested revisions				

# 2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

#### 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

#### a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

### b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

#### c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.