

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

lisocabtagene maraleucel (Breyanzi)

(Bristol Myers Squibb Canada)

Indication: BREYANZI® (lisocabtagene maraleucel) is a CD19-directed genetically modified autologous T cell immunotherapy indicated for: • the treatment of adult patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified (NOS), primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL), high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBCL), and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma, who have refractory disease to first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapse within 12 months of first-line chemoimmunotherapy, and who are candidates for autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT).

November 15, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PG0358-000			
Brand name (generic)	lisocabtagene maraleucel			
Indication(s)	BREYANZI® (lisocabtagene maraleucel) is a CD19-directed genetically modified autologous T cell immunotherapy indicated for: • the treatment of adult patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified (NOS), primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL), high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBCL), and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma, who have refractory disease to first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapse within 12 months of first-line chemoimmunotherapy, and who are candidates for autologous			
	hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT).			
Organization	Lymphoma Canada			
Organization Contact information ^a	Lymphoma Canada Name: Gurjot Basra Lymphoma Canada			
Contact information ^a	Lymphoma Canada Name: Gurjot Basra Lymphoma Canada ith the draft recommendation			
Contact information ^a Stakeholder agreement w 1. Does the stakeholder ag	Name: Gurjot Basra Lymphoma Canada ith the draft recommendation gree with the committee's recommendation. Yes No			
Contact information ^a Stakeholder agreement w 1. Does the stakeholder ag Please explain why the stake possible, please identify the Lymphoma Canada agrees reimbursed for adults with a primary mediastinal large Boundary mediastinal large Boundary or research to the primary mediastinal large Boundary mediastinal large Boundary mediastinal large Boundary mediastinal large Boundary or research to the primary mediastinal large Boundary mediastinal la	Name: Gurjot Basra Lymphoma Canada ith the draft recommendation Tree with the committee's recommendation. Yes			
Contact informationa Stakeholder agreement w 1. Does the stakeholder ag Please explain why the stake possible, please identify the Lymphoma Canada agrees reimbursed for adults with a primary mediastinal large B-DLBCL arising from follicular chemoimmunotherapy or recandidates for autologous h	Name: Gurjot Basra Lymphoma Canada Ith the draft recommendation Gree with the committee's recommendation. The specific text from the recommendation and rationale. With the recommendation that lisocabtagene maraleucel (liso-cel) be liffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified (NOS), recell lymphoma (PMBCL), high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBCL), and relymphoma, who have refractory disease to first line lapse within 12 months of first-line chemoimmunotherapy, and who are			

Yes, as it relates to the patient feedback we have provided, the committee has

Yes, as it relates to the patient feedback we have provided, the committee has demonstrated that it has recognized the importance of the preferences of the surveyed patient population, namely that patients would like access to more options in the relapsed/refractory setting that allow them to live longer, with less symptoms and an improved quality of life.

Clarity of the draft recommendation

3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		\boxtimes
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	X
addressed in the recommendation?		
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	X
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
Yes the reimbursement conditions are clearly stated.		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient Group Information						
Name	Gurjot Basra					
Position	Manager of Patient Programs, Research, and Advocacy					
Date	Please add the date form was o					
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback					
1 Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient group	n to complete v	our foodback?	No	\boxtimes
i. Did you	receive help from outside you	i patient grou	p to complete y	our reeuback?	Yes	
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	d it.				
2. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No	\boxtimes
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes	
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.				
C. Previous	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes	st .				
	onflict of interest declarations p				No	
	ed at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			ations remaine	d Yes	\boxtimes
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration				
	3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					over the
				oriate Dollar Ra		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	s of
Add compar	ny name				[]
Add compan	ny name				[]
Add or remo	ve rows as required				[]



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information						
CADTH project number	ct number PG0358-000					
Brand name (generic)	name (generic) Breyanzi (lisocabtagene maraleucel)					
Indication(s)	Relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma					
Organization	The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society of Canada (LLSC)					
Contact information ^a	Name: Colleen McMillan					
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation					
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No				
prolonged EFS and PFS. Br additional treatment option f	y fill currently unmet needs of large B-Cell lymphoma patients in reyanzi can also improve overall survival and represents a need for patients in second-line therapy.					
•	ration of the stakeholder input		5-0			
			\boxtimes			
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? No □ We thank the committee for considering the input of the LLSC Nurses Network and for this						
recommendation	considering the input of the ELOC Naises Network and for this					
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation					
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	\square			
If not, please provide details	If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes			
addressed in the recommendation?						
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.						
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale			\boxtimes			
for the conditions provided in the recommendation? $$						
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.					

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient C	Froup Information					
Name	Colleen McMillan					
Position	Advocacy Lead, LLSC					
Date	15-11-2024					
⊠	I hereby certify that I have the a matter involving this patient gro patient group in a real, potential	up with a comp	any, organizatio	n, or entity that m		
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback					
4 Did				fo a dla a als?	No	\boxtimes
1. Did you	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our reedback?	Yes	
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.				
	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	ınalyze any	No	\boxtimes
	ition used in your feedback?				Yes	
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.				
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes					
	onflict of interest declarations				No	\boxtimes
	ted at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			rations remained	Yes	
D. New or U	Jpdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration				
	3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.				over the	
				priate Dollar Rai	nge	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	s of
BMS					0	⊠
Add compar	ny name				[
Add or remo	ove rows as required					



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PG0358				
Brand name (generic)	Breyanzi (lisocabtagene maraleucel)				
Indication(s)	Breyanzi (lisocabtagene maraleucel) is a CD19-directed genetically modified autologous T cell immunotherapy indicated for the treatment of adult patients with large B-cell lymphoma, including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL), high grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma, who are refractory or have relapsed within 12 months of initial therapy and are candidates for autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT).				
Organization	OH (CCO) Hematology Cancers Drug Advisory Committee				
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Tom Kouroukis				
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation				
Please explain why the stak	1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.				
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input				
	2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?				
If not, what aspects are miss	sing from the draft recommendation?				
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No			
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.				
-	4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?				
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
Liso-cel may allow for more outpatient-based CAR-T, and has a favourable toxicity profile for older patients. This could help the centres improve capacity for CAR-T.					
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale ded in the recommendation?	Yes No			
•	regarding the information that requires clarification.				

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- · CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH-CCO provided secretariat function to the group in completing this submission.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Tom Kouroukis		
Dr. Joanna Graczyk		
Dr. Lee Mozessohn		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1	
Name	Name Dr. Selay Lam	
Position	Member, OH-CCO Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee	
Date	07-11-2024	

\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
BMS					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2			
Name	Rami El-Sharkaway		
B '''	M / 01/000 // // 0 P A/: 0 ''/		
Position	Member, OH-CCO Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee		
Date	07-11-2024		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any		
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
BMS					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.	Name	Dr. Guillaume Richard-Carpentier			
I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.	Position	Member, OH-CCO Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee			
matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.	Date	07-11-2024			
	\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of Interest Declaration	Conflict of	Interest Declaration			

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

ı	Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
BMS				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4				
Name	Dr. Christopher Cipkar			
Position	Member, OH-CCO Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee			
Date	07-11-2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 5
Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder inform	mation				
CADTH project num		PG0358			
	Name of the drug and Lisocabtagene Maraleucel (Breyanzi) for the treatment of adult				
Indication(s)		patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise			
maioation(s)		specificized, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, high-g			
B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma.					
Organization Provid	Organization Providing PAG				
Feedback					
1. Recommendat			e . :		
recommendation.	ie staken	older requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	ry its		
recommendation.	Maior r	evisions: A change in recommendation category or patient			
Request for		tion is requested			
Reconsideration	Minor r	evisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested			
	Editoria	al revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are	Х		
No Request for	No Request for requested		^		
Reconsideration	No req	uested revisions			
	specific t	or or minor revisions are requested ext from the recommendation and provide a rationale for request n.	ting		
3. Clarity of the re		endation orial revisions are requested for the following elements			
a) Recommendat					
Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					
, rouse promue don	ogu.	anig are internation that requires standarding			
b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons					
Please provide deta	ails regar	ding the information that requires clarification.			
c) Implementatio	n guidar	ice			
	nments i	etails regarding the information that requires clarification. You can n the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional an be raised here.	า		

In table 2, under Considerations for initiation of therapy, PAG suggested adding these two statements to align with the previous recommendation for axicabtagene ciloleucel: "pERC noted that there is no evidence to support using of axicabtagene ciloleucel in patients who received prior CD-19-targeted therapy." and "pERC noted that there is currently no evidence to support CAR T-cell re-treatment in patients who had received a prior CAR T-cell therapy".

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1. An update to the rapid algorithm is needed.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PG0358				
Brand name (generic)	Breyanzi (lisocabtagene maraleucel)				
Indication(s)	For the treatment of adult patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphom				
maioanom(o)	(DLBCL) not otherwise specified (NOS), primary mediastinal l	-			
	lymphoma (PMBCL), high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBCL), a				
	DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma, who have refractory				
	first-line chemoimmunotherapy or relapse within 12 months of				
	chemoimmunotherapy, and who are candidates for autologou				
	hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT).				
Organization	Bristol Myers Squibb Canada Co. (BMS)				
Contact information ^a					
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation				
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.					
NO L					
Bristol Myers Squibb Canada Co. (BMS) agrees with the committee's recommendation to Reimburse with Conditions Breyanzi in second-line large B-cell lymphoma. BMS is pleased that the CDA's pERC					
has recognized the value and clinical need for Breyanzi.					
,					
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input				
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes 🛛			
stakeholder input that y	our organization provided to CADTH?	No 🗆			
Not applicable					
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
2. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?					
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?					
Not applicable					
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately					
addressed in the recom	mendation?	No 🗆			
Not applicable		Yes 🗆			
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?					
Not applicable					

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.