

# **CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW**

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

pembrolizumab (Keytruda)

(Merck Canada)

**Indication:** In combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma.

September 19, 2024

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PC0356				
Brand name (generic)	Pembrolizumab (Keyruda)				
Indication(s) in combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing					
	chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult	patients			
with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative ga					
	or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma				
Organization	OH (CCO) Gastrointestinal Cancer Dug Advisory Committee ("GI DAC")				
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: Dr. Erin Kennedy				
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation				
1 Doos the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes			
i. Does the stakeholder at	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No			
	keholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. V	Vhenever			
possible, please identify the	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale.				
English and a supplied and a supplied	and an at the atabababba band				
<u> </u>	eration of the stakeholder input	Yes			
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the					
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No			
if not, what aspects are mis	sing from the draft recommendation?				
Clarity of the draft recomi	mendation				
		Yes			
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	No			
If not, please provide details	s regarding the information that requires clarification.	110			
4. Have the implementatio	on issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes			
addressed in the recommendation?					
If not, please provide details	s regarding the information that requires clarification.				
		Vac			
5. If applicable, are the rei	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes			
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale ded in the recommendation?	No			
for the conditions provi					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

## **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
    that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
    clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	$\boxtimes$
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH (CCO) provided a secretariat function to the group.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Erin Kennedy		
Dr. Michael Raphael		

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

Name	Dr. Suneil Khanna
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	06-09-2024
$\boxtimes$	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review. **Check Appropriate Dollar Range** Company \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to In Excess of \$10,001 to 10,000 50,000 \$50,000 Merck  $\boxtimes$ Add company name 

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2				
Name	Dr. Rachel Goodwin				
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC				
Date	06-09-2024				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

### **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

Add or remove rows as required

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Merck					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3				
Name	Dr. Tim Asmis			
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC			
Date	06-09-2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

#### **Conflict of Interest Declaration**

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Merck		$\boxtimes$			
Add company name					

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

Feedback on Draft Recommendation						
Stakeholder information						
CADTH project number		PC0356				
Name of the drug and Indication(s)		Pembrolizumab in combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma				
Organization Providing Feedback		PAG				
1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.						
Request for	•	evisions: A change in recommendation category or patient tion is requested				
Reconsideration	Minor r	linor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested				

# 2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

No requested revisions

requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are

# 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

#### a) Recommendation rationale

No Request for

Reconsideration

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

### b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

In table 1 under Discontinuation, PAG suggested removing "at a dose of 200mg" and only stating "e.g. 35 cycles administered every 3 weeks" as jurisdictions will implement with weight-based dosing.

Χ

### c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

In table 2, PAG suggested repeating the following statement from the Discussion Points: "pERC further discussed that chemotherapy may be initiated pending results of HER2 testing and pembrolizumab added upon confirmation of HER2-negative status. If HER2 status cannot be determined (e.g., insufficient tissue for testing), patients may be considered for the treatment with pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy."

In table 2 under Considerations for initiation of therapy (question #4), PAG suggested adding pERC's position/opinion (i.e., pERC agreed...) on the following statement: "The clinical experts noted that retreatment with pembrolizumab, alone or in combination with chemotherapy, should be based on a joint decision-making process between the oncologist and patient, considering disease burden, residual treatment side effects, and patient symptoms, values and preferences."

In table 2, under Relevant Comparators, PAG suggested adding: "pERC noted that patients should initiate pembrolizumab therapy with platinum- and fluoropyrimidine-containing chemotherapy before discontinuing the platinum drug or switching to an alternative regimen due to intolerance or unacceptable toxicity of platinum agents" if pERC discussed this issue.

# **Outstanding Implementation Issues**

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

#### Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1. The algorithm needs to be updated (rapid algorithm).
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

#### **Support strategy**

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?



# **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information							
CADTH project number	PC0356						
Brand name (generic)	KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab)						
Indication(s)	In combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing						
	chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult	patien	ts				
	with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative gastric						
	or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma						
Organization	Merck Canada Inc.						
Contact information <sup>a</sup>							
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation						
1. Does the stakeholder ac	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	$\boxtimes$				
	noo man ano committee e recommendation	No					
Transcription typo							
Pg. 3 Under Recommendati	on Review Committee (pERC) recommends () only if the condit	tione lie	etod				
	source not found. are met."	10115 113	si <del>c</del> u				
	the conditions listed in <b>Table 1 are met</b> "						
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input						
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	$\boxtimes$				
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?							
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?							
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation	•					
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?			$\boxtimes$				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.						
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately			$\boxtimes$				
addressed in the recommendation?							
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.						
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale			$\boxtimes$				
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?							
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.