CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

evolocumab (Repatha)

(Amgen Canada Inc.)

Indication: REPATHA is indicated for the reduction of elevated LDL-C in adult patients with primary hyperlipidemia (including heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia and ASCVD): as an adjunct to diet and statin therapy, with or without other lipid-lowering therapies, in patients who require additional lowering of LDL-C as an adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with non-statin lipid-lowering therapies, in patients for whom a statin is contraindicated

May 31, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

CADTH project number			
	SR0821-000		
Brand name (generic)	Evolocumab		
Indication(s)	Patients with recent acute coronary syndrome (ACS), who ha	ve LDI	-C
	≥ 1.8 mmol/L despite optimized lipid-lowering therapy (moder		
	intensity statin therapy, with or without ezetimibe)		•
Organization	British Columbia Lipid Specialists		
Contact information ^a	Name: Liam Brunham		
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	
We strongly agree with all a "Evolocumab should be pre of specialists with backgrou would mean that a large nu evolocumab would not be a country outside of major un by internal medicine specia treatment based on geogra		inary g quirem with the manag	ent ed
	ement be revised to: scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist,</u> specialist.		
Evolocumab should be pre- endocrinologist, or lipid	scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist,</u>		
Evolocumab should be pre- endocrinologist, or lipid s Expert committee conside	scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist,</u> specialist.	Yes	
Evolocumab should be pre- endocrinologist, or lipid Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat	scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist,</u> specialist. eration of the stakeholder input	Yes No	
Evolocumab should be presented on the stakeholder input that y	scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist,</u> specialist. eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the		
Evolocumab should be presented on the state of the should be presented on the state of the state	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?		
Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid sector and the state of the stat	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	No Yes	
 Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid sector of lipid se	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated?	No	
 Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid sector and the state of the state of	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the your organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	No Yes	
 Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid sector of lipid se	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. in issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No Yes	
Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid sector and the stakeholder input that year of the draft recommon of the draft recommon of the draft recommon of the draft recommon of the stakeholder input that year of the draft recommon of	scribed by a <u>cardiologist, internal medicine specialist</u> , specialist. eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	No Yes No	
Evolocumab should be presendocrinologist, or lipid service endocrinologist, or lipid service consideration of the stakeholder input that year and the stakeholder input that y	eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. in issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No Yes No Yes	

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	No Yes	
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
 4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: 		
 Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: Dr. Liam Brunham 		
 4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: Dr. Liam Brunham Dr. GB John Mancini 		
 4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: Dr. Liam Brunham Dr. GB John Mancini Dr. Carolyn Taylor 		
 4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: Dr. Liam Brunham Dr. GB John Mancini Dr. Carolyn Taylor Dr. lulia latan 		
 4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: Dr. Liam Brunham Dr. GB John Mancini Dr. Carolyn Taylor 		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1		
Name	Please state full name		
Position	sition Please state currently held position		
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)		

	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
			Clicck Applop	Mate Bonar Rang	ye
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Company Add compa	ny name	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to	\$10,001 to	In Excess of
		. ,	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to	In Excess of

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2				
Name	Please state full name			
Position	Please state currently held position			
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any			
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may			
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3					
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held positi	ion				
Date	Please add the date form was co	ompleted (DD-MM-YYYY)				
	matter involving this clinician or	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
Company		Check Appropriate Dollar Range				

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4				
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition			
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)		
Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation. Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i		rug under review.		
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add company name					
-	Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5				
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition			
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	npanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	ny name				
Add compa	Add company name				
Add or rem	ove rows as required				

May 22, 2024

To Whom It May Concern:

I was initially quite happy to see the favourable CADTH review of evolocumab, but hen was quite shocked about some of the stipulations which really do NOT make sense and are not supported by science or evidence

 With respect to item 2.1: 1 If LDL-C levels are ≤ 2.2 mmol/L or non-HDL-C ≤ 2.9 mmol/L, patients <u>must</u> have demonstrated an <u>adequate trial</u> of ezetimibe prior to initiation of evolocumab.

This is NOT correct as per CCS, where PCSK9i (evolocumab) is suggested asap after ACS regardless of LDL and NOT after ezetimibe

We recommend intensification of lipid-lowering therapy with a PCSK9 inhibitor (evolocumab or alirocumab) with or without the additional use of ezetimibe—for secondary CV prevention patients shown to derive the largest benefit from PCSK9 inhibitor therapy in whom LDL-C remains \geq 1.8 mmol/L (or non-HDL-C \geq 2.4 mmol/L or ApoB \geq 0.7 g/L) while receiving the maximally tolerated statin dose (Fig. 3; Strong Recommendation; Moderate-Quality Evidence). Secondary prevention patients shown to derive the largest benefit from intensification of statin therapy with PCSK9 inhibitor therapy are defined in Table 3.

2. With respect to Item 3 : *Evolocumab should be* **prescribed by a** <u>cardiologist</u>. I actually think I had the hardest time with this comment as a primary care physician that is very involved with the cardiovascular health of my patients, and have personally started dozens on PCSK9i as per guidelines. Most Post ACS care is done (at least in part) by Family Physicians and Internists, so that would be tragic to prevent these groups from prescribing life saving therapies and makes no sense.

Similar to Fineranone and nephrology, this statement should read: "should be prescribed by physicians with experience in treating lipids in the post acs patient and knowledgeable in the use of PCSK9i for secondary prevention 3. Item 4 is very confusing: *Evolocumab should not be reimbursed for use in combination with a PCSK9 inhibitor*

Evolocumab is a PCSK9i so why would anyone use it with another PCSK9i. This statement just adds confusion and is of no true value.

Jeffrey Habert MD CCFP FCFP Assistant Professor, University of Toronto, DFCM Former Co-Chair Thrombosis Canada Clinical Guides

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	SR0821-000-000				
Brand name (generic)	Repatha (evolocumab)				
Indication(s)	Primary hyperlipidemia				
Organization	Kitchener Waterloo Cardio-Pulmonary Services				
Contact information ^a Name: Amelia Yip					
Stakeholder agreement w	th the draft recommendation				
	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes □ No ⊠			
population or the lack of sym medical treatment. From out these patients' low-density I to see improved coverage of However, the treatment and available therapeutics have monitoring. In our region, w follow-up with cardiology. In initiating and monitoring for have excellent short- and lo	able to issues of noncompliance. Whether it is the nature of a y nptoms, there appears to be a greater inertia to obtain and stay in experiences, the availability of different treatment options he ipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level to target. We are therefore if available therapeutic options for these patients. management of lipids should not be limited to cardiologist alon proven safety profiles and does not require cardiologists' close e service a large catchment area that does not have routine are neternists, general practitioners as well as endocrinologists are of side effects. PCSK-9 inhibitors like evolocumab have been sh ng-term safety profile requiring minimal monitoring. Limiting pr ists, in this case cardiologists, could greatly limit access to this	y on lps to bring e delighted ne. The nd regular capable of own to rescribing			
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input				
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes □ No □			
N/A we never had comment	s to the committee previously				
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes ⊠ No □			
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.				
4. Have the implementatio addressed in the recom	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	Yes ⊠ No □			
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.				
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale ded in the recommendation?	Yes ⊠ No □			
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.				

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	X
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
N/A did not previously declare conflict of interests		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Amelia Yip
Position	Cardiologist
Date	30-May-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration
	mpanies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Amgen				
Sanofi				
EOCI				
MD Analytics				
Bayer				
Bi-Agence LIV				
HLS				
Fusion MD				
Novonordisk				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	2			
Name	Usha Manian				
Position	Cardiologist				
Date	30-May-2024				
List any co	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g Interest Declaration mpanies or organizations that have	clinician group roup in a real, p ve provided you	with a company, potential, or perce ur group with finar	organization, or e eived conflict of int ncial payment ove	ntity that may erest situation.
years AND	who may have direct or indirect i	nterest in the d	•		
				riate Dollar Rang	-
Company	pany \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000				
Bristol Mye	rs Squibb				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Jaffer Syed	Jaffer Syed			
Position	Cardiologist				
Date	30-May-2024				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	y \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000				
None					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	4			
Name	Claus Rinne	Claus Rinne			
Position	Cardiologist				
Date	30-May-2024				
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g Interest Declaration	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	ntity that may
Connector					
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	е
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000				
none					

New or Up	or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5				
Name	Vesna Mihaljovic				
Position	Cardiologist				
Date	30-May-2024				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	npanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000				
none					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	5		
Name	Natalie Szpakowski			
Position	Cardiologist			
Date	30-May-2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration			
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i	ve provided your group with financial payment over the past two nterest in the drug under review.		
Company		Check Appropriate Dollar Range		

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
none				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	5		
Name	Heather Warren			
Position	Cardiologist			
Date	30-May-2024			
⊠ Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i			r the past two
Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000			
none				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	5			
Name	Arun Natarajan	Arun Natarajan			
Position	Cardiologist				
Date	30-May-2024				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.			r the past two	
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	ny \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000			In Excess of \$50,000	
none					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 5
Name	Rick Matiasz
Position	Cardiologist
Date	30-May-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess 10,000 50,000 \$50,000				
none					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	5					
Name	Suzanne Renner						
Position	Cardiologist						
Date	30-May-2024						
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration						
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two		
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
none							

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	SR0821-000-000 Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommen	dation	
Brand name (generic)	Repatha (Evolocumab)		
Indication(s)	Primary hyperlipidemia		
Organization	McMaster University		
Contact information ^a	Name: Guillaume Pare		
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. W specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	henev	er
care is not provided by card a majority of lipid specialists (endocrinology, internal meet the argument that prescriptic basis. The ACS diagnosis is not) responsible for post-AC consideration post-ACS and patients. ACS is not a rare mortality, it should be very of	buch with clinical reality in Canada where a large proportion of p iologists but rather by other specialists and primary care practit is in Canada are not cardiologist but rather come from varied bac dicine, medical biochemistry, cardiology, vascular medicine, etc on by cardiologists will lead to more appropriate prescriptions h is done at the ER and typically not by the same physician (cardio CS care. Whether a patient had an ACS or not is not a clinical disease or an ambiguous diagnosis. As CAD is the first cause of clear to any physician whether a patient had an ACS or not.	ioners ckgrou .) and as no blogist ascular	; (2) nds (3) or
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No	\boxtimes
The majority of lipid speciali	sing from the draft recommendation? sts are not cardiologists. The recommendation does not reflect nose focus is care of cardiovascular patients.	the	
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		
	ear why only prescriptions from cardiologists would be appropria nmunity of physicians involved in the care of cardiovascular and		
		Yes	\boxtimes

Yes 🛛

4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
D. Durwinssels, Displayed Conflict of Interact		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2				
Name Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position				
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
List any co	mpanies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two				

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3						
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position					
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of Interest Declaration						
Connict Of	Interest Deciaration					
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two	
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.		·	
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.		·	
List any co years AND	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i	nterest in the d	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to	ge In Excess of	
List any co years AND Company	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i any name	nterest in the d \$0 to 5,000	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to 50,000	ge In Excess of \$50,000	

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	4								
Name	Please state full name									
Position	Please state currently held position									
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)									
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.									
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration									
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two					
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	Check Appropriate Dollar Range					
C				le						
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000					
Add company	any name	\$0 to 5,000			In Excess of					
	•		10,000	50,000	In Excess of \$50,000					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	5				
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position					
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)					
Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i		rug under review.		•	
Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range Company \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000					
Add company name						
Add company name						
Add or rem	ove rows as required					

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	SR0821-000		
Brand name (generic)	Evolocumab		
Indication(s)	For patients with recent acute coronary syndrome (ACS), wh		
	LDL-C \geq 1.8 mmol/L despite optimized lipid-lowering therapy		
Organization	University of Toronto faculty and clinicians at St Michael's Ho	· · · · ·	
	Unity Health Toronto who are actively involved in the treatme		
	patients with primary hyperlipidemia, including post-acute co	ronary	
	syndromes (ACS)		
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Shaun G. Goodman		
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. V specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	Vhenev	er
	her with open-label extension study data with evolocumab, and		llari
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prev nilar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition :1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spec	ention on (Pea	
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prev nilar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition :1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spec	ention on (Pea	
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary preven nilar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition :1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spec dations (see below).	ention on (Pea	
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevent nilar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spece dations (see below). Pration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the	ention on (Pea ific Yes	nrson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevent inlar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spece dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	ention on (Pea ific Yes	nrson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recomm	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevention of the greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (2000). We do, however, disagree with some of the specer dations (see below).	ention on (Pea ific Yes	nrson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recomm	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevent inlar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spece dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	ention on (Pea ific Yes No	nrson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recomm 3. Are the reasons for the	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevention of the greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (2000). We do, however, disagree with some of the specer dations (see below).	ention on (Pea ific Yes No	In son
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recomm 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevention relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (2000). We do, however, disagree with some of the specer dations (see below).	ention on (Pea ific Yes No	In son
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recomm 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevention relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spect dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately	ention on (Pea ific Yes No Yes No	Irson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recom 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recom	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevention relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spect dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the stakeholder input from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately	ention on (Pea :ific Yes No Yes Yes	Irson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recom 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details 4. Have the implementatio addressed in the recom	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevential relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (2000). We do, however, disagree with some of the spece dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input for demonstrate that the committee has considered the four organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation? is regarding the information that requires clarification.	ention on (Pea :ific Yes No Yes Yes	Irson
Cardiovascular Society guid patients shown to derive sir et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37 Reimbursement recommen Expert committee conside 2. Does the recommendat stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recom 3. Are the reasons for the If not, please provide details 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recom If not, please provide details 5. If applicable, are the rei	deline recommendations, including in high-risk secondary prevent inlar relative, but greater absolute, benefit from PCSK9 inhibition (1129-1150). We do, however, disagree with some of the spece dations (see below). eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? is regarding the information that requires clarification. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	ention on (Pea :ific Yes No Yes No	

We disagree with Reimbursement condition 2.1: "If LDL-C levels are \leq 2.2 mmol/L or non-HDL-C \leq 2.9 mmol/L, patients must have demonstrated an adequate trial of ezetimibe prior to initiation of evolocumab." which is **not** consistent with the 2021 Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) Dyslipidemia guideline recommendations (Pearson et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37:1129-50) for post-acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. The Guidelines state "Intensification of lipid-lowering therapy with PCSK9 inhibitors is especially recommended in these subsets of very high-risk patients (see Table 3), **with or without the additional use of ezetimibe [**bolding added], which was used in only a small number of patients in these trials". The requirement for post-ACS patients to be on ezetimibe in addition to maximally tolerated statin dose would frequently result in additional delays in patients being prescribed evolocumab and may even result in patients being outside of the 1-year post-ACS window.

In Table 1 (Reimbursement Conditions and Reasons), in the Prescribing section, it is recommended that "3. Evolocumab should be prescribed by a cardiologist." with the rationale that "This is meant to ensure that evolocumab is prescribed for appropriate patients and that adverse effects are managed in an optimized and timely manner." We are concerned that restricting the prescribing of evolocumab to post-ACS patients will lead to inequitable access to this therapy. First, physicians other than cardiologists are quite capable of appropriately prescribing PCSK9 inhibitor therapy as per the CCS Dyslipidemia guideline recommendations (Pearson et al Can J Cardiol 2021;37:1129-50) that are entirely consistent with the CADTH-recommended Reimbursement Initiation conditions (Table 1). Indeed, given that the majority of Canadian post-ACS patients receive secondary prevention care (including lipid-modifying, antithrombotic, vascular protective and other prescriptions) jointly or solely from non-cardiology doctors (including internal medicine, other subspecialties, cardiovascular surgeons, and primary care physicians, in both urban and rural settings), restricting the potential prescriber to a cardiologist would significantly disadvantage Canadian patients and limit equitable access to effective treatment. Second, it is important to recognize that there are no safety issues with evolocumab. As noted in the Harms Results sections of the CADTH Reimbursement Review (pages 15 and 17), the serious and all adverse event profile of evolocumab was indistinguishable from placebo, with the exception of a higher occurrence of local injection site reactions. However, the latter was rare (0.5% absolute increase over a median of 2.2 years), with ~90% of reactions reported as mild (e.g., local erythema, swelling, or pruritis) and only 0.1% stopped receiving evolocumab because of an injection-site reaction (Sabatine et al N Engl J Med 2017;376:1713-22). Further, no differences in rates of reported local injection site reactions were evident in the Open Label Extension study (O'Donoghue et al Circulation 2022;146:1109-19).

In Table 1 (Reimbursement Conditions and Reasons), in the Prescribing section, it is also recommended that "4. Evolocumab should not be reimbursed for use in combination with a PCSK9 inhibitor." with the rationale that "There is no evidence for the use of evolocumab in combination with a PCSK9 inhibitor." It is unclear what this Reimbursement Prescribing condition means since evolocumab is itself a PCSK9 inhibitor.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	X
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
D. Drovievely Diseleged Conflict of Interact		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest	Ne	_
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	No	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:	L	L
Shaun G. Goodman		
Lawrence A. Leiter		
Alice Y. Y. Cheng		
Beth Abramson		
John L. Sievenpiper		
Linda Wang		
Kim A. Connelly		
Subodh Verma		
Bobby Yanagawa		
Dominic Ng		
Cynthia T. Luk		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1

Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000		
Add company name						
Add company name						
Add or remove rows as required						

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	4				
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position					
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)					
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of Interest Declaration						
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
List any co	Interest Declaration mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two	
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.		-	
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.		-	
List any cor years AND	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i	nterest in the d	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to	je In Excess of	
List any con years AND Company	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i any name	\$0 to 5,000	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to 50,000	je In Excess of \$50,000	

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5						
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position					
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
	npanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two	
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je	
Company						
Add compa	Add company name					
Add compa	ny name					
		•		•	•	

CADTH project number	SR0821-000				
Brand name (generic)	REPATHA (evolocumab)				
Indication(s)	Reduction of elevated LDL-C in adults with primary hyperlipid	emia			
	heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia and ASCVD)				
Organization	Western University, Division of Cardiology, Cardiac Rehabilita	ation ar	nd		
-	Secondary Prevention Program				
Contact information ^a	Name: Robert McKelvie, MD, PhD, FRCPC				
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation				
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No			
possible, please identify the Recommendation #3 stating this recommendation becau appropriately management a from prescribing the medica (e.g., Internists, endocrinolo monitor their care. Furthern prescribe REPATHA becaus Recommendation #4 does r	ceholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. We specific text from the recommendation and rationale. If medication should be prescribed by a cardiologist. We disagres it is too restrictive. Many physicians other than cardiologists and prescribe lipid lowering therapies so they should not be exection. The rational for this does not make sense because other origists) manage these patients and can appropriately prescribe a nore, many of the leading lipid specialists in Canada would not se they are not cardiologist.	ree with s cluded physic and be able	ians e to		
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input				
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes			
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No			
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the		_		
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?		_		
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recomm	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?		_		
2. Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recomm	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	No			
 Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the mass of the mas	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	No Yes			
 Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the inf not, please provide details 	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated?	No Yes			
 Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recomming Are the reasons for the infinite or the most part the recommiss For the most part the recommission Have the implementation 	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. mendations are stated except for #3 and #4. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No Yes			
 Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the most part the recommons For the most part the recommons Have the implementation addressed in the recommons 	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. mendations are stated except for #3 and #4. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	No Yes No			
 Does the recommendati stakeholder input that y If not, what aspects are miss Clarity of the draft recommons Are the reasons for the most part the recommons For the most part the recommons Have the implementation addressed in the recommons 	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. mendations are stated except for #3 and #4. n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No Yes No Yes			

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your elipicien group to collect or englyze only	No	
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest	Ne	
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration
List any co	mpanies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

mew or up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3				
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition				
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)			
	matter involving this clinician or	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
Connict Of	Interest Deciaration					
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two	
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.			
List any co	mpanies or organizations that hav		rug under review.			
List any co years AND	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i	nterest in the d	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to	ge In Excess of	
List any co years AND Company	mpanies or organizations that hav who may have direct or indirect i any name	nterest in the d \$0 to 5,000	rug under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	riate Dollar Rang \$10,001 to 50,000	ge In Excess of \$50,000	

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	4							
Name	Please state full name								
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition							
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)						
	matter involving this clinician or	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.							
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration								
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two				
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
C					le				
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000				
Add company	any name	\$0 to 5,000			In Excess of				
	•		10,000	50,000	In Excess of \$50,000				

New or Up	odated Declaration for Clinician	5				
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held posi	ition				
Date	Please add the date form was o	completed (DD-	MM-YYYY)			
	matter involving this clinician or	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration					
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two	
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name						
Add company name				_	_	
Add compa	any name					

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0821
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	 evolocumab (Repatha) for the reduction of elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in adult patients with primary hyperlipidemia (including heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease): as an adjunct to diet and statin therapy, with or without other lipid-lowering therapies, in patients who require additional lowering of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol as an adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with non-statin lipid-lowering therapies, in patients for whom a statin is contraindicated.
Organization Providing Feedback	FWG

1. Recommendat Please indicate if the recommendation.	tion revisions ne stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	fy its
Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	х

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions
1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
1.
2.
Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
1.
2.
Support strategy
3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?
May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology),
etc.

ing risk uppo	
"a uatio base reque red; o ne	n, e ests or
	risk uppo vorkir vork vorki vorki vorkir vorki vorki vorki vorko vork vorki vorki vork

continuity of care for lipid management post ACS, beyond the revisions requested through our request for reconsideration based on minor revisions, Amgen would like to request clarification on the following reimbursement criteria and conditions.

- a) CDEC "recommends that evolocumab be reimbursed for the reduction of elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in adult patients <u>with primary hyperlipidemia (atherosclerotic</u> <u>cardiovascular disease</u>)". As noted on p. 8, evolocumab is recommended "in the management of primary hyperlipidemia for secondary prevention". However, to avoid confusion between the Primary Hyperlipidemia and the Prevention of Cardiovascular Events indications when implementing this recommendation, since by definition, ACS patients have established ASCVD, we would ask CDEC to focus on the population for this reassessment and consider removing the Health Canada indication from this statement and simplifying to "in adults patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease...".
- b) Reimbursement Condition 4, "Evolocumab should not be reimbursed for use in combination with <u>a PCSK9 inhibitor</u>": For clarity, since evolocumab is a PSCK9 inhibitor, we suggest this should read "with <u>another</u> PCSK9 inhibitor".
- c) Rationale for Reimbursement Condition 5, "An estimated price reduction of <u>at least</u> 50% would be required for evolocumab to achieve an ICER of \$50,000 per QALY compared to optimized background lipid lowering therapy": The rationale for this condition should align with the results of the economic evaluation (on p.20) which states "A price reduction <u>of 50% would be required to</u> <u>ensure</u> cost-effectiveness" and we ask that "**at least**" be removed from the statement.