

CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Enzalutamide

(non-sponsored review)

Indication: For the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with biochemical recurrence at high risk of metastasis (high-risk BCR)

Oct 31, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CDA-AMC and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CDA-AMC. No endorsement by CDA-AMC is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CDA-AMC, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CDA-AMC does not edit the content of the submissions.

CDA-AMC does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PX0366			
Brand name (generic)	enzalutamide			
Indication(s)	For the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-sensitive			
	prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with biochemical recurrence at h	nigh risk	of	
	metastasis (high-risk BCR)			
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer	Drug		
	Advisory Committee ("GU DAC")			
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Girish Kulkarni			
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation			
1 Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	\boxtimes	
1. Does the stakeholder a	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No		
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input			
	ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes	
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
	5			
Clarity of the draft recom	nendation			
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes	
5. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	No		
4. Have the implementatio	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes	
addressed in the recommendation?		No		
	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes	
•	ded in the recommendation?	No		
The GU DAC's comments of	only pertain to the Draft Recommendation document.			

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
OH (CCO) provided a secretariat function to the group.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.	103	
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	\boxtimes
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Girish Kulkarni		
Dr. Chris Morash		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Dr. Urban Emmenegger
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GU DAC
Date	27-October-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Astellas (correction from what was declared during the input)					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2					
Name	Dr. Reta Barua				
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GU DAC				
Date	25-October-2024				
 I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation. Conflict of Interest Declaration 					
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
Check Appropriate Dollar Range					
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Janssen 🛛 🖄 📋 🗍					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3						
Name	Dr. Akmal Ghafoor					
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GU DAC					
Date	21-October-2024					
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of	Interest Declaration					
	List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range					
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Janssen						



CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder inform	nation				
CADTH project nun	nber	PX0366			
Name of the drug and		Enzalutamide			
Indication(s)		For the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-			
		sensitive prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with biochemical recurrence			
at high risk of metastasis (high-risk BCR)					
Organization Providing PAG					
Feedback					
1. Recommendat Please indicate if the recommendation.	ne stakeh	older requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	fy its		
Request for	-	evisions: A change in recommendation category or patient tion is requested			
Reconsideration		evisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested			
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested				
Reconsideration	No req	uested revisions			
	specific t	or or minor revisions are requested ext from the recommendation and provide a rationale for request n.	ing		
3. Clarity of the r Complete this secti		endation orial revisions are requested for the following elements			
a) Recommendat	ion ratio	nale			
Please provide deta	ails regar	ding the information that requires clarification.			
b) Reimbursemei	nt condi	ions and related reasons			
- İn Table 2, u "Enzalutami criteria for n	under Init ide with o i on-met a	ding the information that requires clarification. tiation, the sentence should include the right indication under review or without ADT should be reimbursed in patients who meet the istatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with ence at high risk of metastasis (high-risk BCR)."	iew:		

 In Table 2, under Initiation, PAG suggests adding "or" for the two possible PSA screening levels such as: 1ng/mL or higher in prior RP (with or without post-operative RT) patients, or at least 2ng/mL above nadir in prior RT

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

In the DPI table, for the first question, PAG suggests adding LHRH antagonists and agonists in the sentence: "As per the clinical expert, all LHRH antagonists can be considered interchangeable in terms of efficacy." Not all provinces fund LHRH antagonists.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1. An update to the algorithm is needed (rapid algorithm).
- 2.

2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH

1.

2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information		
CADTH project number	PX0366-000	
Brand name (generic)	Enzalutamide	
Indication(s)	For the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-s prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with biochemical recurrence at h metastasis (high-risk BCR)	
Organization	Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.	
Contact information ^a	Name:	
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation	
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes ⊠ No □
Astellas Pharma Canada, Ir correction:	ic agrees with the recommendation. Astellas noted two points	of
	EC noted that because enzalutamide is only available as a cap ork) may be an included ingredient…".	osule
Astellas Response: We we not include pork as an ingre	ould like to note that the current capsule formulation of enzalut dient.	amide does
Re: page 27, para 4 "define	d by a PSA doubling time of months or less"	
Astellas Response: This a "defined by a PSA doubling	ppears to be a typo. The number 9 is missing. The statement time of 9 months or less".	should read
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input	
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes ⊠ No □
N/A		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation	
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes ⊠ No □
N/A		
4. Have the implementatio addressed in the recom	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	Yes ⊠ No □
N/A		
5. If applicable, are the rei	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale ded in the recommendation?	Yes 🛛
-		No 🗆
N/A		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.