

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Brentuximab vedotin (Adcetris)
(Seagen Canada Inc.)

Indication: Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone and cyclophosphamide in previously untreated high-risk HL in the pediatric population. Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine for the treatment of previously untreated patients with advanced stage Hodgkins Lymphoma

August 16, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PC0371-000			
Brand name (generic)	Adcetris (brentuximab vedotin)			
Indication(s) in combination with doxorubicin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone, and cyclophosphamide in previously untreated high-risk HL in the pediatric population. Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine for the treatment of previously untreated patients with advanced stage HL.				
Organization	The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society of Canada (LLSC)			
Contact information ^a	Name: Colleen McMillan			
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation			
	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No		
	ed for new treatments for HL that control disease symptoms, pro and improve quality of life. We also agree there is a need to ave the pediatric population.	_	ther	
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input			
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? The LLSC did not submit input toward this initial submission. However, our organization fully support the input submitted by Lymphoma Canada on behalf of those affected by Hodgkin Lymphoma.				
, , , , , ,			_	
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation			
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes	
	<u> </u>	No		
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes No		
	regarding the information that requires clarification.	140		
5. If applicable, are the reir	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes	
•	ded in the recommendation?	No		
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

A. Patient Group Information							
Name	Colleen McMillan						
Position	Advocacy Lead						
Date	13-08-2024						
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
1 Did you	roccive help from outside you	r nationt arou	n to complete v	our foodback?	No		
1. Did you	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our reeuback?	Yes		
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.					
	ı receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	ınalyze any	No		
	ition used in your feedback?				Yes		
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.					
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes						
	onflict of interest declarations				No	\boxtimes	
	ted at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			rations remained	d Yes		
D. New or U	Jpdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
	/ companies or organizations t o years AND who may have dir					over the	
			Check Appro	priate Dollar Ra	nge		
Company \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess \$10,000 \$50,000 \$50,000			ss of				
Seagen Car	nada Inc.					\boxtimes	
Add compar	ny name						
Add or remove rows as required							



CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PC0371-000				
Brand name (generic)	Adcetris (Brentuximab vedotin)				
Indication(s)	Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone and cyclophosphamide in previously untreated high-risk HL in the pediatric population. Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine for the treatment of previously untreated patients with advanced stage Hodgkins Lymphoma				
Organization	Lymphoma Canada				
Contact information ^a	Name: Gurjot Basra				
Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation					

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.

Yes \times No

Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.

We agree with the committee's overall recommendation that Adcetris be reimbursed if the conditions are met. From our patient surveys, it is clear that HL patients want access to novel lymphoma therapies which control disease symptoms, bring a longer disease remission, allow them to live longer and improve quality of life. Hence, lymphoma patients with aggressive subtypes, such as advanced HL, deserve access to novel treatment options including Brentuximab vedotin.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?

Yes XNo

If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?

Yes, the committee has demonstrated that it has recognized the importance of the preferences of the surveyed patient population, namely that patients need access to more therapeutic options that allow them to live longer, with less symptoms and an improved quality of life.

Clarity of the draft recommendation

3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?

Yes	\boxtimes
No	

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

The reasons for the recommendations are clearly stated. However, reimbursement condition 6 stating that Adcetris should not be reimbursed when given in combination with other chemotherapy drugs,

may be limiting for patients as this can hinder the ability to tailer treatment plans to individual patient needs, compromising the chances of optimal outcomes. Instead, the decision for combination therapy should be left to the discretion of the treating clinician (hematologists or oncologists) with expertise in the management of HL. Yes \boxtimes 4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation? No If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. Yes \times 5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation? No

Most conditions have been listed clearly, however, condition 7 seems to suggest that despite Adcetris being a viable option for patients, feasibility of adoption is solely dependent on the submitted price. We feel the feasibility of adoption should not be tied strictly to budgetary impacts and rather that the focus be on the manageable toxicity profile, improvement in QoL and prolonged response should take precedence. We would like to flag the need to have Adcetris funded quickly, as there is currently inequitable access for stage III/pediatric patients versus stage IV.

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.

1 10000	Tiouse see the Treeduction of Stating Remisered month Noview						
A. Patient Group Information							
Name	Gurjot Basra						
Position	Manager of Patient Programs, Research, and Advocacy						
Date	August 16, 2024						
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
4 5:1		4. 4			No	\boxtimes	
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our feedback?	Yes		
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	ed it.					
2. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No	\boxtimes	
	tion used in your feedback?		•	, ,	Yes		
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	d it.					
C. Previous	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes	st					
1. Were co	onflict of interest declarations	provided in pa	tient group inp	ut that was	No		
	ed at the outset of the CADTH ged? If no, please complete se			ations remaine	d Yes	\boxtimes	
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
	companies or organizations to years AND who may have dir		interest in the	drug under revi	ew.	over the	
				priate Dollar Ra	nge		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	s of	
Pfizer/Seage	en					⊠	
Roche			×		[
Incyte							

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PC0371-000			
Brand name (generic)	Brentuximab vedotin			
Indication(s)	Brentuximab vedotin in combination with doxorubicin, vincristi	ne,		
	etoposide, prednisone, and cyclophosphamide in previously u	ntreate	ed	
	high-risk HL in the pediatric population. Brentuximab vedotin i			
	combination with doxorubicin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine fo			
	treatment of previously untreated patients with advanced stag	e HL.		
Organization	OH (CCO) Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee			
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Tom Kouroukis			
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation			
1 Does the stakeholder an	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	\boxtimes	
		No		
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. W	henev	er	
possible, please identify the	specific text from the recommendation and rationale.			
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input			
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes	
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?				
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation			
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	\boxtimes	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes		
addressed in the recomi	• • •	No	\boxtimes	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
51 1 17	DV AVD			
1	BV with AVD in patients with isolated CNS Hodgkin's or with ad	vance	d	
stage disease including CNS	5.			
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes		
for the conditions provide	ded in the recommendation?	No	\boxtimes	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.			
D-51#4				
Refer to #4.				

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH (CCO) provided a secretariat function to the group.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Tom Kouroukis		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1			
Name	Dr. Vighnesh Bharath			
Position	Member, OH (CCO) Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee			
Date	13-08-2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of Interest Declaration				

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review. **Check Appropriate Dollar Range** Company \$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 10,000 50,000 \$50,000 Pfizer \boxtimes

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr. Joanna Graczyk
Position	Member, OH (CCO) Hematology Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	13-08-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

Add or remove rows as required

Add company name

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information						
CADTH project number	PC0371-000					
Brand name (generic)	Adcetris (Brentuximab vedotin)					
Indication(s)	Newly Diagnosed High Risk Hodgkin Lymphoma					
Organization	Pediatric Oncology Group of Ontario					
Contact information ^a	Name: Paul Gibson					
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation					
4. Does the stakeholder or	was with the committee's recommendation	Yes	\boxtimes			
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	No				
	ation as it reflects the current standard of care of pediatric cent	ers in				
Ontario.						
Evnert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input					
•		Voc				
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes				
	stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? No We were happy to see the consideration of the AHOD 1331 data, however we think its important to					
	V is used in this pediatric approach.	ortant	10			
Clarity of the draft recomn	nendation					
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes			
	<u> </u>	No				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.					
		Yes				
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No				
	nalysis presumes 13 patients treated per year. We feel this is a					
	of eligible patients nationally, even when Quebec is excluded.	1				
	,					
	nbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes				
•	ded in the recommendation?	No	\boxtimes			
	fulsome pharmacoeconomic model was not used in assessing					
pediatric data, we do think its important that payors recognize that the results of AHOD 1331 were						
accomplished with almost 60% LESS BV (5 total doses vs 12) which suggests pediatric patients gain significant benefit at a far lower cost.						

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1			
Name	Please state full name		
Position	Please state currently held position		
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		
Conflict of Interest Declaration			

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0371-000
Name of the drug and	Brentuximab vedotin (Adcetris) for Hodgkin's Lymphoma
Indication(s)	
Organization Providing	PAG
Feedback	

1. Recommendation revisions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.

Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	x
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

- Under Discontinuation criteria where one of the criteria includes reaching maximum dose/cycle, PAG suggested adding a statement that the dose of brentuximab vedotin is same for stage III and IV disease in adults.
- Under Prescribing, PAG suggested the following statement instead:
 - "Brentuximab vedotin should be used in combination with chemotherapy drugs AVD in adults or AVPEC in pediatric patients"

On page 8 pertaining to the statement: "pERC agreed with the clinical experts that
patients with CNS involved could be eligible." PAG suggested to review if there is
alignment with Table 1 3.3, where the condition indicates that patients with Cerebral or
meningeal disease should not be treated with brentuximab.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1. Rapid algorithm update for stage 3 adult population (PAG lead NB)
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.