

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

pembrolizumab (Keytruda)

(Merck Canada Inc.)

Indication: In combination with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy, is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic biliary tract carcinoma (BTC).

June 13, 2024

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	PC0344-000-000		
Brand name (generic)	Pembrolizumab (Keytruda)		
Indication(s)	In combination with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy, indica	ted for	the
	treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable	or	
	metastatic biliary tract carcinoma		
Organization	Colorectal Cancer Resource & Action Network (CCRAN)		
Contact information ^a	Name: Filomena Servidio-Italiano, President & CEO		
Stakeholder agreement wi	ith the draft recommendation		
4 Bass the state balder of		Yes	\boxtimes
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
Expert committee consider	eration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes
stakeholder input that y	our organization provided to CADTH?	No	
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation	ı	
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No	
	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes
addressed in the recom	mendation?	No	
E If and it also and the said	whome are set as a difference be subseted as different for the	Voc	
,	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	
for the conditions provide	ded in the recommendation?	No	

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.

A. Patient Group Information

Name

Filomena Servidio-Italiano

• Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.

Position	President & CEO						
Date	June 13, 2024						
	☑ I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.						
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
					No	\boxtimes	
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our feedback?	Yes		
If yes, please	e detail the help and who provide	d it.					
2. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No	\boxtimes	
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes		
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	d it.					
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes						
	1. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in patient group input that was						
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.					d Yes		
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
	r companies or organizations t o years AND who may have dir					over the	
				oriate Dollar Ra			
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	n Excess of \$50,000	
Add compan	ny name				[]	
Add compan	y name						
Add or remo	ve rows as required						

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	PC0344-000		
Brand name (generic)	Keytruda (pembrolizumab)		
Indication(s)	In combination with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy, is ind		
	the treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresec	table or	٢
	metastatic biliary tract carcinoma		
Organization	OH (CCO) Gastrointestinal Cancer Drug Advisory Committee)	
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Erin Kennedy		
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. We specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	/henev	er
For condition #4: Imaging sh	nould initially be completed every 3 to 4 months.		
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?			
If not, what aspects are miss	sing from the draft recommendation?		
Clarity of the duety was a sur-	and deltan		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation	Lv	
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	
If not places provide details	regarding the information that requires elevitication	No	
ii not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes
addressed in the recom		No	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the rein	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes
	ded in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		
For condition #4: Imaging sh	nould initially be completed every 3 to 4 months.		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	X
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH provided a secretariat function to the group.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Erin Kennedy		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1				
Name	Dr. Rachel Goodwin			
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC			
Date	05-06-2024			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of Interest Declaration				
List any co	mpanies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two			

Check Appropriate Dollar Range					
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Merck					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr. Suneil Khanna
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	05-06-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Merck					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 3
Name	Dr. Tim Asmis
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	05-06-2024
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder inform	nation			
		DC0244		
CADTH project num		PC0344		
Name of the drug and		Pembrolizumab		
Indication(s)		In combination with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy, is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with locally advanced		
	unresectable or metastatic biliary tract carcinoma (BTC).			
Organization Provide	ling	PAG		
Feedback	3			
recuback				
1. Recommendat	ion revis	sions		
		polder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	fy its	
recommendation.				
Request for		evisions: A change in recommendation category or patient tion is requested		
Reconsideration	Minor r	revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	Х	
No Request for	Editoria request	al revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are red		
Reconsideration	No req	uested revisions		
Complete this section	on if maj	lation category or conditions or or minor revisions are requested	tin a	
a change in recomr	•	ext from the recommendation and provide a rationale for request n.	ung	
PAG requested the Discontinuation.	2-year fi	ixed duration for pembrolizumab be added to Table 1 under		
3. Clarity of the re				
Complete this section	on if edit	orial revisions are requested for the following elements		
a) Recommendat	ion ratio	onale		
Please provide deta	ails regar	ding the information that requires clarification.		
•		tions and related reasons		
Please provide deta	ails regar	ding the information that requires clarification.		
c) Implementation	n guidar	nce		

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PC0344				
Brand name (generic)	Keytruda (pembrolizumab)				
Indication(s)	Biliary Tract Cancer				
Organization	Merck Canada Inc.				
Contact information ^a					
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation				
1. Doos the stakeholder of	uras with the committee's recommendation	Yes	\boxtimes		
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	No			
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input				
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the			\boxtimes		
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?					
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
3 Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes		
5. Ale the reasons for the	sucon mondation clourly stated:	No			
	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes		
addressed in the recom	mendation?	No			
E If applicable are the rei	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes			
	ded in the recommendation?	No			
Tor the conditions provide	dod iii tilo roodiiiiiolidatioii .	INO			
Merck Canada would like to highlight that in the KN-966 clinical trial, the use of gemcitabine is permitted beyond the initial 8 cycles of platinum doublet, which is aligned with Canadian practice according to Canadian experts and as such kindly requests this to be reflected in the reimbursement criteria. This is also aligned with the KEYTRUDA product monograph. 2					

References

- Kelley RK, Ueno M, Yoo C, et al. Pembrolizumab in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin compared with gemcitabine and cisplatin alone for patients with advanced biliary tract cancer (KEYNOTE-966): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2023;401(10391):1853-1865.
- 2. Health Canada. Product monograph Keytruda. https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00075758.PDF. Accessed June, 2024.

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.