CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

pembrolizumab (Keytruda)

(Merck Canada)

Indication: Keytruda in combination with trastuzumab, fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma, whose tumors express PD-L1 [Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥1] as determined by a validated test.

June 13, 2024

CADTH Reimbursement Review
Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0343-000
Brand name (generic)	Keytruda (pembrolizumab)
Indication(s)	in combination with trastuzumab, fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma, whose tumors express PD-L1 [Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥1] as determined by a validated test
Organization	OH (CCO) Gastrointestinal Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Contact information[a]	Name: Dr. Erin Kennedy

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	☒
	No	☐
Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.		

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?		

Clarity of the draft recommendation		
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

[a] CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	☐
	Yes	☒
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it. OH provided a secretariat function to the group.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any information used in this submission?	No	☒
	Yes	☐
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	No	☐
	Yes	☐
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: • Dr. Erin Kennedy		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1	
Name	Dr. Rachel Goodwin
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	05-06-2024

☒	**I hereby certify** that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
	$0 to 5,000	$5,001 to 10,000	$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of $50,000
Merck	☐	☒	☐	☐
Add company name	☐	☐	☐	☐
Add or remove rows as required	☐	☐	☐	☐

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2

Name	Dr. Suneil Khanna
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	05-06-2024
☒	**I hereby certify** that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
	$0 to 5,000	$5,001 to 10,000	$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of $50,000
Merck	☐	☒	☐	☐
Add company name	☐	☐	☐	☐
Add or remove rows as required	☐	☐	☐	☐

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3

Name	Dr. Tim Asmis
Position	Member, OH (CCO) GI DAC
Date	05-06-2024
☒	**I hereby certify** that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Company	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
	$0 to 5,000	$5,001 to 10,000	$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of $50,000
Merck	☐	☒	☐	☐
Add company name	☐	☐	☐	☐
Add or remove rows as required	☐	☐	☐	☐

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0343-000
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Keytruda (pembrolizumab) In combination with trastuzumab, fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2 positive gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma, whose tumors express PD-L1 (CPS ≥ 1) as determined by a validated test.
Organization Providing Feedback	PAG

1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.		
Request for Reconsideration	**Major revisions:** A change in recommendation **category** or patient **population** is requested	☐
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement **conditions** is requested	☐
No Request for Reconsideration	**Editorial revisions:** Clarifications in recommendation **text** are requested	☐
	No requested revisions	X

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested
Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements
a) Recommendation rationale
Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.
b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons
Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.
c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions
1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
1. An update to the algorithm is needed (rapid) 2.
2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
1. 2.
Support strategy
3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?
May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.

CADTH Reimbursement Review
Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0343
Brand name (generic)	KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab)
Indication(s)	In combination with trastuzumab, fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, for the first-line treatment of adult patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic HER2 positive gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma, whose tumors express PD-L1 (CPS ≥ 1) as determined by a validated test.
Organization	Merck Canada Inc.
Contact information[a]	[redacted]

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation		
6. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes	☒
	No	☐
Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.		

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		
7. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?		

Clarity of the draft recommendation		
8. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
9. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?	Yes	☒
	No	☐
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
10. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	Yes	☒
	No	☐

[a] CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.